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PREFACE

Do you recall from your school experiences the outstanding teachers who possessed the ability to make you feel worthy, able, and dependable? These memorable teachers had the ability to cope effectively and humanely not only with instructional issues but with "people problems" as well. How would our individual lives, our community, our world be different had there been more of these special teachers? Now, more than ever before, the opportunity presents itself to find out.

Selecting teachers is a monumental responsibility, yet useful, research-based information required to make such decisions is minimal. The need for improving the process is critical, particularly with the increasing emphasis on accountability in the classroom, and the ever more complex skills and competencies required in dealing with the problems in today’s schools.

Historically, research on teacher effectiveness has provided little information or direction regarding the identification of dispositional characteristics of effective teachers. One notable exception was the research conducted at the University of Florida under the direction of Dr. Arthur Combs. Combs defined effective teaching as a "helping relationship," and determined that effective teachers were people who had the ability to facilitate positive changes in others. The research consisted of 15 studies indicating that effective and ineffective helpers can be distinguished on the basis of their perceptual orientation.* Teacher applicants’ perceptual orientations were shown to be reliably measured through the process of perceptual inference.

The self-instructional manual described in the following pages offers the knowledge and skills needed to make reliable and accurate perceptual evaluations for use in educator selection, admission to educator preparation programs and as a means for investigating dispositions to meet NCATE 2000 Standards.

It is hoped that these materials will spark additional inquiry into the dispositional characteristics of effective educators. Toward this end, the materials may be copied and used for educational purposes, and the author stands ready to assist in studies and applications in educational settings.
Finally, the author dedicates these materials to Arthur W. Combs, through whose tutelage, mentorship, and friendship the author was provided the most significant learning experiences in his personal life and professional career.

M.M.W.
CHAPTER ONE
HISTORICAL AND RESEARCH BACKGROUND

The perceptual instrument described herein provides a means to assess the dispositions of educators. It provides a useful tool for the selection of educators for school settings and for the use in admissions decisions for future educators. It is also be useful as a tool to measure dispositions and their change over time to meet the NCATE 2000 standards.

The instrument is based on the work of the late Arthur W. Combs (for more about Dr. Combs see: www.fieldpsychtrust.org) who spent his professional career investigating the dispositions (he called them perceptual characteristics) of helping professionals who were able to significantly and positively affect others’ lives. Over the course of 30 years, he conducted numerous studies exploring the dispositions necessary to being an effective educator. In these studies (Combs 1969), effectiveness was determined in many ways - evaluation of teachers by pupils, peers, and administrators, the winning of national honors for outstanding teaching; and even student product outcomes (e.g., test scores on achievement tests).

Results of Combs’ research on educator dispositions point to five categories of perceptions that can serve to differentiate effective from ineffective educators. They are:

1) perceptions about subject matter
2) perceptions about self (self-concept)
3) perceptions about other people
4) perceptions about the teaching task
5) general frame of reference

Combs demonstrated that these perceptual characteristics could be measured using a high inference instrument in conjunction with samples of behavior that can be written narratives, interviews and/or observations of teaching/helping situations. The major factor limiting the use and extension of his research was the nature of the measurement instrument itself and the necessity for first hand instruction in its use by Combs.

Consequently, most of the research conducted using this model was limited to doctoral students working with Combs or others who came to Combs for extended training.
Compounding the problem further was the preference during the 1960s through 1980s for objective, low inference instruments rather than the more “subjective,” high inference measures used with today’s modern measurement rubrics, compounded the problem.

These self-instructional materials were developed with Dr. Combs as part of the author’s doctoral research directed at overcoming the need for first hand instruction for using perceptual scales as a measure of educator dispositions. The materials were tested with a variety of audiences including superintendents in Florida. The materials were found to enable users to accurately assess the perceptual characteristics of educators (Wasicsko, 1977).

In the document that follows, the terms dispositions and perceptions are used interchangeably.
Perceptual Psychology

Perceptual psychology is based on the premise that people behave in terms of how the world appears to them. In order to understand people’s behavior, it is necessary to understand their perceptions.¹ From a perceptual viewpoint, behaviors are considered symptoms of underlying beliefs. Therefore, understanding a one’s beliefs is the key to understanding one’s actions.

Perceptual psychology may provide new insights into teacher effectiveness. To date, it has not been possible to describe effective teachers in terms of behaviors, methods, or knowledge. Perceptual research, on the other hand, indicates that the study of perceptual factors, such as beliefs and attitudes, may finally provide useful and consistent information about this topic.

As defined in terms of perceptual psychology (Combs, et al., 1974), the effective teacher is “a unique human being who has learned to use himself effectively and efficiently to carry out his own and society’s purposes in the education of others.” To state that the effective teacher is “unique” is not to say that his perceptions cannot be identified or described. It does suggest, however, that his teaching effectiveness needs to be evaluated on the basis of something other than his teaching methods, content knowledge, or teaching behaviors.

To accurately screen teachers and select those who will be most effective in the classroom, procedures must exist to measure attitudes, values, and other perceptual factors that underlie and therefore predict external behavioral similarities. Perceptual psychology affords the foundation upon which these procedures may be developed.

¹Here, "perceptions" refers to the meanings, beliefs, values, and attitudes a person holds about himself and the world. Throughout this text, the terms "perceptions," "beliefs," etc. will be used interchangeably.
The Perceptual View of Effective Teaching

In 1959, a year-long seminar at the University of Florida studied the problem of teacher effectiveness – specifically, the perceptual factors that influenced a person to behave in an effective way. Faculty and graduate students reviewed, analyzed, and interpreted educational literature from a perceptual point of view, and developed the hypothesis that effectiveness was a function of the individual’s perceptual orientation – i.e., his beliefs, values, and attitudes. For teachers, the degree of effectiveness seemed directly related to their perceptual orientation. It was suggested that effective teachers share similar perceptions about themselves, students, and the task of teaching. More than 15 subsequent studies at the University of Florida and the University of Northern Colorado support this view.

A follow-up seminar at the University of Florida directed by Dr. Arthur Combs, reviewed the research based on the perceptual model of effectiveness, and distilled 12 perceptual factors with which effective and ineffective teachers could be distinguished. (A summary of the various research studies and the twelve perceptual factors identified is presented in Chart A.) These 12 were further distilled through a Delphi technique to five seemingly distinct categories of perceptions. Four of the 12 perceptual factors were chosen to be part of the self-instructional materials for this study. The choices were based on ease with which novice raters could be trained to understand and use the factors.

The five major perceptual categories critical to distinguishing between effective and ineffective teachers as described by Combs, et al., (1974) are:

1) Rich, extensive, and available perceptions about the subject field
2) Accurate perceptions of people
3) Perceptions of self leading to adequacy
4) Accurate perceptions about the purposes of education and the processes of learning
5) Personal perceptions about appropriate methods for achieving purposes. (p. 22)

Rich, extensive, and available perceptions. The first perceptual category is related to knowledge of subject matter. The importance of content knowledge is seldom questioned relative to teacher effectiveness, and it is the easiest component to assess during the selection process.
# Chart A

## Teacher Effectiveness Research

A Perceptual Approach

*(The Florida Studies)*

### Perceptual Orientation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perception of Self</th>
<th>Identified-Unidentified</th>
<th>Able-Unable</th>
<th>Positive-Negative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perception of Others</th>
<th>Able-Unable</th>
<th>Dependable-Undependable</th>
<th>Worthy-Unworthy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perception of Purpose</th>
<th>Larger-Smaller</th>
<th>Freeing-Controlling</th>
<th>Revealing-Concealing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frame of Reference</th>
<th>People-Things</th>
<th>Internal-External</th>
<th>Openness-Closedness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*S = Significant

ns = Not significant

Blank = Not tested

* Definitions used in text
Most objective information on teaching candidates falls into this area. Because the area has received ample attention and analysis elsewhere, it will not be discussed further in this text.

**Accurate perceptions of people.** The beliefs about others, particularly students, that a teacher holds are an important influence on these persons’ behavior. The influence tends to be cyclical and self-fulfilling. For instance, if a teacher perceives a student to be a troublemaker, then the stage is set for his behavior to be perceived as misbehavior. The student realizes that he is perceived negatively, and subsequently becomes angry and threatened, which, in turn, precipitates destructive behavior. This, of course, reinforces and intensifies the teacher’s original beliefs, and the cycle continues.

In perceptual studies, effective teachers were found to see others in a more realistic and positive way. They differed from ineffective teachers in that they generally perceived others as dependable, able, and worthy. Eight studies compared teachers' perceptions of others and all eight found significant differences between effective and ineffective teachers. The definition of the perceptual factor below will serve as the extremes on a Likert scale upon which inferences about teachers perceptions will be made. The way of perceiving described on the left corresponds to the perceptions of effective teachers and that on the right to ineffective teachers.

### ABLE

The teacher sees others as having the capacities to deal with their problems. S/He believes others are basically able to find adequate solutions to events in their own lives.

### UNABLE

The teacher sees others as lacking the necessary capacities to deal effectively with their problems. S/He doubts their ability to make their own decisions and run their own lives.

**Perceptions of self leading to adequacy.** A teacher’s self-perceptions influence relations with colleagues and students. Combs, et al., (1974) states:

Teachers who believe they are able will try. Teachers who do not think they are able will avoid responsibilities. Teachers who feel their students like them will behave differently from those who feel they are disliked. Teachers who feel they are acceptable to the administration can behave differently from those who have serious doubts about their acceptability. Teachers who feel their profession has dignity and integrity can behave with dignity and integrity themselves. Teachers who have grave doubts about the importance and value of their profession may behave apologetically or over aggressively with their students and with their colleagues. (pp. 24-25)
Aspy and Buhler (1975) found a positive relationship between the inferred self-concepts\(^2\) of teachers and student achievement as measured on five scales of standardized achievement tests. Eleven other studies compared self-perceptions of teachers and ten of these found significant differences between the perceptions of effective and ineffective teachers. One study did not reach statistical significance. The characteristic related to self-perception for effective teachers is on the left and ineffective teachers on the right.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IDENTIFIED</th>
<th>UNIDENTIFIED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The teacher feels a oneness with all people.</td>
<td>The teacher feels generally apart from others.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S/He perceives him/herself as deeply and</td>
<td>S/His feelings of oneness are restricted to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meaningfully related to persons of every</td>
<td>those of similar beliefs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>description.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Accurate perceptions about purposes of education and the processes of learning.** The beliefs held by teachers about education and how students learn influence effectiveness. Some teachers possess attitudes that schools should “teach the best and ignore the rest.” Others say that they “just love to teach, but don’t like kids” or that they “prepared the best materials and got the wrong kids.” The effect these teachers have on students will differ from that of teachers who perceive that education is a means of assisting individuals to become competent and successful. The research indicates that teachers’ beliefs about the purposes of education and teacher effectiveness are related.

\(^2\)Inferred self-concept is a measure of self-concept based on evaluations (inferences) of the subject’s self-perceptions made by trained perceptual raters.
In short, effective teachers take a larger view about the purposes of education believing that things such as making long-term, positives changes in kids’ lives and fostering good citizenship are more important than a single grade or homework assignment.

**LARGER**

The teacher views events in a broad perspective. His/her goals extend beyond the immediate to larger implications and contexts.

**SMALLER**

The teacher views events in a narrow perspective. His/her purposes focus on immediate and specific goals.

Personal perceptions about appropriate methods for achieving purposes. The last discriminatory factor relative to effective teaching is “frame of reference” which is defined as the means a teacher deems appropriate for achieving a goal. There are no “right” means applicable to all teachers and situations. Rather, there are means that are more appropriate given particular situations and individuals. The effective teacher uses appropriate means in each specific learning and teaching situation. Having a positive frame of reference presupposes insight into the causes of behavior and a desire to help students achieve personal as well as academic growth. Openness to new evidence and an understanding of one’s self and others that can serve as the impetus for positive changes in behavior are also important.

**PEOPLE**

The teacher is concerned with the human aspects of affairs. The attitudes, feelings, beliefs, and welfare of persons are prime considerations in his/her thinking.

**THINGS**

The teacher is concerned with the impersonal aspects of affairs. Questions of order, management, mechanics, and details of things and events are prime considerations in his/her thinking.
SUMMARY

In at least 12 studies completed to date, clear perceptual differences have been found between effective and ineffective teachers. The research has yielded criteria that have been successfully applied in teacher preparation programs and in professional development for practicing teachers.

It seems apparent that this same information can be of equal value when used by school district personnel in the recruiting and hiring of effective teachers. The remainder of this text describes the implications of the findings and their application to the selection process.
CHAPTER THREE
NATURE AND EVALUATION OF PERCEPTIONS

Relationship Between Perceptions and Behavior

To understand the actions of individuals, it is necessary to understand their perceptions. The overt behavior of people may be considered to be symptoms of the perceptions or beliefs that they hold as important. If a person sees himself as law-abiding and believes this to be important, then his behavior will fall within the law. If a person believes that people are basically dependable, then his behavior will express trust in others. This same principle applies to teachers. Teachers’ behaviors, and thus their effectiveness, are determined by their characteristic ways of perceiving. For selection officials to make use of the fact that effective and ineffective teachers perceive differently, they need the skills that will enable them to identify teachers’ perceptual orientations.

Reading Behavior Backwards

“Reading behavior backwards” is the process by which another person’s viewpoint, and thus his behavior, is understood. In one sense, this amounts to “standing in another person’s shoes” and seeing what it is like to be him. This process is not new to any of us. As children, awareness of how others feel is acquired, as indicated in statements such as “Stay out of Dad’s way!” or “Now would be a good time to ask Mom!” To make judgments, children observe behaviors and then make inferences. They “read behavior backwards” and so come to understand the meanings, feelings, and perceptions behind an individual’s actions.

Everyone continues to use this skill every day as an adult, but the efficiency with which it is used varies, depending upon the situation. It is common, for instance, to tell at a glance how
one’s spouse is feeling, and to come to a rather accurate idea of the type of reaction forthcoming. Accurate inferences become more difficult, however, as the situation becomes more remote from one’s own experiences or the person is less familiar.

Accuracy of inference also varies from individual to individual. Some people have learned to make inferences accurately after brief observations of another’s behavior, but most require exposure to another person over a longer period of time. Making perceptual inferences is a skill and, therefore, can be improved. Counseling and psychology historically have emphasized this skill, but it should be important in the education profession as well. The need for improvement in persons charged with hiring teachers is especially critical. When teachers are being interviewed, the chances are good that they will be unfamiliar to the interviewer. The luxury of making inferences in a casual way for an extended period of time is not available. Rather, inferences typically must be drawn from a relatively small number of behaviors, and thus a high degree of empathic skill is necessary. These materials are designed to provide such skill.

**Behavior - The Vehicle for Inference**

Theoretically, any behavior should provide insight into another person’s perceptions. The term “behavior” is used in a broad sense: written themes, letters, recordings of conversations, diaries, autobiographies, even conversation itself may be defined as “behavior” for the purpose of making inferences. The type of behavior from which accurate inferences can be drawn is determined by the proficiency of the person making the inferences.

In studies conducted at the University of Florida and the University of Northern Colorado, interviews, Human Relations Incidents, picture story tests, and classroom observations were used as protocol material for making inferences. The important characteristics of any
materials from which to draw inferences are that they (1) are reflective of the person’s perceptions – i.e. involve his values, beliefs, and feelings rather than be merely an intellectualized statement of what he deems appropriate or desirable for the particular situation and (2) are of sufficient length and detail to enable the perceptual rater to get a "feel" for the way the person perceives.

Cautions About Perceptual Inferences

Self-report is not self-concept. A logical and frequently used way to gather information about another person’s feelings or beliefs is to simply ask him. The obtained information is a self report. Studies have been shown that self-concept (the way a person actually sees himself) and the way he reports himself to be are not synonymous (Combs, et al., 1971, 1963; Parker, 1966). Self-reports are useful, however, and, if viewed as a behavior from which to infer, can provide important information. In using self-report information, the following should be kept in mind:

1) A person usually is aware of what is important to him, but it is not always possible for him to relate this to others.

2) A person may lack adequate symbols to express his real feelings. Some beliefs or perceptions are just not accessible to verbal descriptions. When put into words, the words used possess such special meanings for the individual that the meaning is lost in translation. (“I know you believe you understand what you think I said, but I’m not sure you realize that what you heard is not what I meant.”)

3) In our culture, it is customary to not express feelings about oneself. Whether a person sees himself as pretty exceptional or rather dull, this is not likely to be stated openly. We are taught both to be humble and to not make fools of ourselves.

4) The information in a self-report depends upon what the person is willing to give. A person may claim to give full cooperation, yet may, in fact, give no meaningful information.
5) The degree to which a person feels adequate will affect the accuracy of the reported information. In general, the more adequate the person feels, the more accurate will be his description of his perceptual self. A person who feels less adequate, not wanting to appear inadequate, will only disclose that which he feels will indicate his adequacy.

6) Probably the most important factor to keep in mind is that any request for information about perceptions will greatly affect the response given.

The process of inferring a person’s perceptions or beliefs is one of observing behavior and then asking how this person must perceive to have responded this way. At times, this will require taking a self-report at face value. At other times, the validity of the information will need questioning. This skill comes with practice and, it is hoped, will become easier and more manageable through the practice in this text.

**Personal versus Perceptual Evaluation**

The last point to consider is the distinction between personal preference and perceptual evaluations. In the materials presented herein, protocols (i.e., Human Relations Incidents) may be evaluated in two ways. The first, personal evaluation, involves reading the incident, reviewing what the person did, and evaluating the person’s overt behaviors as being “like me” (i.e., the person handled the situation well) or “unlike me” (he handled it poorly). Personal evaluation is used repeatedly in everyday situations, and is the process by which friends and acquaintances, as well as enemies, are chosen. Evaluations of this type are indeed “personal” in nature (based on personal likes and dislikes), and thus are not usually accurate enough for use in teacher selection.

The second way of evaluating incidents is called “perceptual evaluation,” and it seeks to reveal the beliefs or perceptions producing the observed behavior. Making perceptual inferences
is facilitated by using the definitions (perceptual factors) provided by the research as criteria upon which to rate candidates' protocols.

The following chapter presents instructions and practices for making perceptual inferences.

**SUMMARY**

Perceptions or beliefs underlie all behavior. To understand another person’s behavior, one must understand his perceptions or beliefs. The process by which a person comes to understand another person is called “reading behavior backwards” – i.e., understanding the person’s point of view, and thus also his actions. In one sense, “reading behavior backwards” amounts to “standing in another person’s shoes” and seeing what it’s like to be him.

Overt behaviors provide the material from which inferences about perceptions are made. There are two ways in which behaviors are commonly evaluated. The first way is based on personal likes and dislikes. These are usually not sufficiently accurate to be used in the teacher selection process. The second way is through perceptual inferences; that is, reading behavior backwards.

The research has shown that effective and ineffective teachers differ in the way they perceive. In order for selection officials to apply these findings, a high degree of inference skill needs to be developed.
CHAPTER FOUR
MAKING PERCEPTUAL INFERENCES

This chapter presents introductory instructions and practice materials for making perceptual inferences. The four perceptual factors to be used in the material will be described in detail. Practice Human Relations Incidents are presented to test understanding of the perceptual factors. The intent of this chapter is to provide a “feel” for making inferences and insight into the rationale used by professional raters to evaluate perceptual orientations. Before beginning the practice, several terms need clarification.

**Perceptual Factor.** The training emphasizes four perceptual factors: how a person sees himself, how he sees others, his perceptions of purpose, and his overall frame of reference. Each perceptual factor consists of a set of bipolar definitions (e.g. able-unable). The definition on the left will always correspond to the effective perceptions and the definitions on the right to ineffective perceptions. These definitions will provide the criteria upon which inferences are to be made. In other words, you will be asked to infer which definition best fits the perceptions of the person writing the Human Relations Incident.

**Human Relations Incident** - The Human Relations Incidents (HRI) used were submitted by teachers or students enrolled in upper-division and graduate education courses. All were asked to follow the same basic format for writing Human Relations Incidents. The instructions were as follows:

I would like you to think of a significant past event that involved yourself in a teaching role with one or more other persons. That is, from a human relations standpoint, this event had special meaning for you. In writing about this event, please use the following format:

**FIRST** Describe the situation as it occurred at the time.
SECOND What did you do in the particular situation?

THIRD How did you feel about the situation at the time you were experiencing it?

FOURTH How do you feel about the situation now? Would you wish to change any part of it?

In the case of students who had not participated in teaching situations, the term “teaching role” was changed to “helping role.” When reading Human Relations Incidents, keep in mind that the information was volunteered and must be regarded as self reported data.

Scoring incidents - After you have become familiar with the perceptual factor and have read the HRI, you will be asked to infer the writer’s perceptual orientation on a scale similar to the one shown:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ABLE</th>
<th>UNABLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The teacher sees others as having the capacities to deal with their problems. S/He believes others are basically able to find adequate solutions to events in their own lives.</td>
<td>The teacher sees others as lacking the necessary capacities to deal effectively with their problems. S/He doubts their ability to make their own decisions and run their own lives.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7 6 5 4 3 2 1

A score of 1 indicates that, in your best judgment, the writer’s perceptions closely parallel the perceptions described in the ineffective (UNABLE) definition. Conversely, a score of 7 indicates that, in your judgment, the writer’s perceptions closely parallel those described in the effective (ABLE) definition. In most cases, the writer’s perceptions will fall somewhere between the two extremes.
In evaluations of this type, scores will vary even among highly trained raters. Therefore, it is necessary to allow a range in which scores will be considered accurate. In perceptual research, a range of plus or minus one point is acceptable. Therefore, if the score of the professional raters were 5, a score of 4, 5, or 6 would fall within the acceptable limit. In perceptual research, the criterion of acceptability is that the raters (three or more) agree within one point a minimum of 80% of the time. This same criterion will be applied in determining your accuracy for making inferences. If you are within one point of the professional ratings at least 80% of the time, then you have attained a high degree of inference skill.

Steps for Making Perceptual Inferences

The following procedures should be used to evaluate each Human Relations Incident:

1) Carefully examine the perceptual definitions.

2) Read the Human Relations Incident, keeping the definitions in mind.

3) Reread the definitions and make your rating on the scale provided.

4) Compare your ratings with those of the professional raters.

As you progress through these materials, the inference process will become clearer. It is suggested that you reread the definitions often, and continually ask yourself how the person’s perceptions fit the perceptual factor under consideration. When questions arise concerning the nature of inferences, you should reread the pertinent sections of Chapter Three.

The practice material follows. Two Human Relations Incidents will be provided with each perceptual factor. You will read each incident and score it in the place provided and then compare it with the score and rationales of the professional raters.
EXAMPLES FOR PERCEPTIONS OF SELF

(IDENTIFIED - UNIDENTIFIED)

Example 1

1) Carefully examine the perceptual definitions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IDENTIFIED</th>
<th>UNIDENTIFIED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The teacher feels a oneness with all people.</td>
<td>The teacher feels generally apart from others.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S/He perceives him/herself as deeply and</td>
<td>His/her feelings of oneness are restricted to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meaningfully related to persons of every</td>
<td>those of similar beliefs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>description.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effective teachers feel closeness to people of every description, independent of race, creed, or national origin. This does not mean they are friends with everyone, but rather that they have the capacity to understand and feel a compassion for all people. The feeling of identification is familiar to everyone. The joy over the accomplishments of loved ones, the excitement over a team’s victory, and even the sadness over the tragedies of friends and neighbors exemplify this identification. Some of the greatest figures in history have developed this feeling of oneness or identification to such an extent that all humankind has been included.

The opposite is seen in people who never come to share meaningful experiences with another human being. Most people fall somewhere between these extremes. Effective teachers perceive a greater identification between themselves and all students, regardless of beliefs and background. This is an essential quality for effective teaching.

2) Read the Human Relations Incident, keeping the definition in mind.

*He was extremely poor but just as proud. He needed help but the problem was how could we help him without hurting him? Jerry (fictitious name) was a very good math student in one of my seventh-grade classes. He made good grades, but he started going to sleep every day in class after he finished his work. At first, I just let him sleep, thinking that it was a temporary thing. However, it occurred more and more often. I confided in his homeroom teacher and we became real snoopers. She went into his locker every day for a week and discovered that all he had for*
lunch every day was bread with margarine spread on it. Next we went to the principal. We offered to buy his lunches but the principal said no. He called in the school nurse and she investigated the home situation. She found conditions quite critical and as we had suspected, the children were suffering from malnutrition. Jerry was just too tired to stay awake all day and since math seemed to be his easiest subject, he chose that class in which to sleep. Well, the outcome was that the principal offered Jerry a job in the lunchroom for free lunches. Jerry accepted and does not know to this day that two teachers were “snoopy.” He stopped sleeping in class almost immediately. He is now a senior in high school and is still in the accelerated math program where I placed him at the end of the seventh-grade.

How would a person perceive in this situation if he were identified with others?

Unidentified? How must a person perceive to behave in the manner just described?

3) Reread the definitions and make your rating on the scale provided.

**IDENTIFIED**
The teacher feels a oneness with all people. S/He perceives him/herself as deeply and meaningfully related to persons of every description.

**UNIDENTIFIED**
The teacher feels generally apart from others. His/her feelings of oneness are restricted to those of similar beliefs.

7 6 5 4 3 2 1
4) Compare your ratings with those of the professional raters.

The professional raters scored this person a 6. (Hereafter, the professional raters’ score will be denoted by an X on the appropriate number of the scale.) A score of 5, 6, or 7 would have indicated agreement with the trained raters. (Hereafter, the range on the scale indicating agreement with the professional raters will be boxed in.)

| IDENTIFIED | 7 | X | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | UNIDENTIFIED |

A perceptual rating must be independent of personal likes and dislikes. From an external point of view, rummaging through a student’s locker, and even his lunch, might elicit a personal evaluation of “dislike” for those doing the rummaging. This may appear to violate our beliefs and philosophies. However, when the intent and perceptions of the person involved are understood, a different opinion may be reached. It is dangerous to focus on behavior taken out of context. After setting aside personal external evaluations of behavior, decide how the person involved perceives. Where do his perceptions lie on a perceptual continuum? Personal likes and dislikes cannot, of course, be ignored. But it is possible to recognize them and hold them in abeyance when examining the perceptual factors.

The evidence in the example indicates a person who identifies well with other people. This person shows a high regard for the feelings of students and a sense of accomplishment in the success of students. A less identified teacher might have felt his concern was to teach math and not get involved in the personal problems and feelings of students. There appears to be personal pride and satisfaction, which comes with identification, when the teacher says, “He is now a senior in high school and is still in the accelerated math program where I placed him at the end of the seventh-grade.”
Some information about identification comes from the nature of the incidents the person chooses to relate. Are the incidents student-centered or self-centered? Generally like him or unlike him? Here again, the example shows an identified person who relates to others in meaningful ways.

Example 2

1) Carefully examine the perceptual definitions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IDENTIFIED</th>
<th>UNIDENTIFIED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The teacher feels a oneness with all mankind.</td>
<td>The teacher feels generally apart from others.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S/He perceives him/herself as deeply and meaningfully related to persons of every description.</td>
<td>His/her feelings of oneness are restricted to those of similar beliefs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2) Read the Human Relations Incident, keeping the definitions in mind.

At the beginning of the fall trimester, I was assigned to school as assistant to the audio-visual director. I came from a college position and was completely new to the school. The A-V director was new to the position but not to the community, having lived in this area all her life. This woman was younger than I, with much less experience in teaching and in handling audio-visual materials. She was certified (as I was not) in library science, a requirement in all Florida schools.

From the very beginning there were problems in our relationship, many of them stemming from the aforementioned facts, but mainly from her objection to my “attitude” and my "evasion of responsibility." She even saw fit on several occasions to lecture me on the fact that I should be able to “take over” the A-V office and run it satisfactorily during her absence. For some reason she felt I was not capable of doing this.

Two facts colored her thinking about me: the fact that I am single and the fact that my attitude toward students is somewhat permissive, allowing them a great deal more freedom than she thought was necessary. At the same time, her provincial background undoubtedly colored my thinking about her.

Another aspect of the situation was that the director felt she was overworked and that her assistant, even though assigned for only three hours a day, should take certain amount of administrative work off her shoulders. I expressed the desire to do this, but she seemed convinced by this time that I could not be trusted with heavy responsibility.
Although our professional duties created a certain common ground of understanding, our personal relationship deteriorated steadily to the point where a cold-war stalemate was reached. She took her keys with her when leaving the office and chose to blame me whenever anything was misplaced or missing.

What I did about this situation was to simply leave it. I found another job (in campus broadcasting studio) and notified the principal that I was making the change after Christmas. This was the easy way out. I realize that, but the unpleasantness involved in working with this woman influenced my early decision to resign. Under the conditions existing at the time, I could see no other solution.

Possibly what I should have done was to take a more understanding viewpoint toward this woman and her problems. If she wanted her assistant to be very strict with students and with the checking out of materials, I should have been willing to cooperate. My failure to compromise on this matter probably led her to believe that I couldn’t be trusted.

I should also have kept in closer communication with the director of the school and the principal, explaining the situation to them and assuring them of my desire to cooperate fully. I did not, and this led to the mistaken belief that I wasn’t taking my duties seriously.

My worst mistake was in my personal dealings with the A-V director. She oddly enough seemed to like me personally, having said so on several occasions, and with a little tact and sympathy on my part the entire situation could have been worked out satisfactorily.

How would a person perceive in this situation if he were identified with others?

Unidentified? How must a person perceive to behave in the manner just described?

3) Reread the definitions and make your ratings on the scale provided.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IDENTIFIED</th>
<th>UNIDENTIFIED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The teacher feels a oneness with all people. S/He perceives him/herself as deeply and meaningfully related to persons of every description.</td>
<td>The teacher feels generally apart from others. His/her feelings of oneness are restricted to those of similar beliefs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7 6 5 4 3 2 1
4) Compare your ratings with those of the professional raters.

IDENTIFIED 7 6 5 4 3 X 1 UNIDENTIFIED

The focus of this person’s remarks is on himself. It appears that the management of the way he looks in the situation is of primary concern. There was little interest or concern for the way other persons felt or saw situations. He even states his inability to identify by saying, “Possibly what I should have done was to take a more understanding viewpoint toward this woman and her problems.” He also acts on preconceived notions concerning the other’s intentions, such as what effect being single and permissive had on his relationship with the teacher involved. Overall, there is a feeling of separation between him and others. We see a push-pull relationship (me-you) rather than a oneness (us). These characteristics indicate a person who doesn’t identify with others, who doesn’t see himself as related to others, and who fails to see things from others’ viewpoints.

EXAMPLES FOR PERCEPTIONS OF OTHERS

(ABLE-UNABLE)

Example 1

1) Carefully examine the perceptual definitions.

ABLE
The teacher sees others as having capacities to deal with their problems. S/He believes others are basically able to find adequate solutions to events in their own lives.

UNABLE
The teacher sees others as lacking the necessary capacities to deal effectively with their problems. S/He doubts their ability to make their own decisions and run their own lives.

The beliefs one holds about others, whether accurate or false, affect behaviors toward them. Therefore, it is imperative that teachers believe people are basically able to cope with
their problems. Children won’t learn to solve problems unless given a chance to try. If a teacher believes students to be able, the stage is set for positive growth and successes.

2) Read the Human Relations Incident, keeping the definitions in mind.

Today on the playground, John, one of my children, broke his glasses. This was not my day for playground-duty so I did not see what happened. Three conflicting reports were told me. The children were running after the ball, John was sitting on the ground with his glasses beside him and Henry stepped on them. This was the first report. The second report was that Henry had hit John and broken the glasses. The third report was that John had become angry and had hit Henry over the head, breaking the glasses. The boys were very boisterous. I asked the boys to take their seats - all except John.

John was in tears and would not talk. I suggested that he take his seat and come talk with me when he felt like it. Some time later John came to my desk and said, “I’m ready to tell you. I got mad at Henry for getting the ball and hit him. I had my glasses in my hand and they got broken.” I smiled at him, thanked him and asked him to tell his mother. I believe this was the way I should have handled the situation.

How would a person who saw others as “able” perceive the situation? Unable? How must a person perceive to behave in the manner just described?

3) Reread the definitions and make your rating on the scale provided.

**ABLE**
The teacher sees others as having capacities to deal with their problems. S/He believes others are basically able to find adequate solutions to events in their own lives.

**UNABLE**
The teacher sees others as lacking the necessary capacities to deal effectively with their problems. S/He doubts their ability to make their own decisions and run their own lives.

7  6  5  4  3  2  1
4) Compare your ratings with those of the professional raters.

ABLE 7 X 5 4 3 2 1 UNABLE

The teacher showed trust in the coping ability of children. She believed that children, if left to themselves, would tell her the truth. She relates that John was told “to take his seat and come talk to me when he felt like it.” A teacher who saw people as less able may have pulled rank and demanded the truth. Instead, the teacher created a situation in which the information was acquired, and a learning situation was provided for John and the entire class.

Example 2

1) Carefully examine the perceptual definitions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ABLE</th>
<th>UNABLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The teacher sees others as having capacities to deal with their problems. S/He believes others are basically able to find adequate solutions to events in their own lives.</td>
<td>The teacher sees others as lacking the necessary capacities to deal effectively with their problems. S/He doubts their ability to make their own decisions and run their own lives.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2) Read the Human Relations Incident, keeping the definitions in mind.

One of the most difficult things I’ve had to do as a teacher is assign grades. Two years ago I had a certain student in my typing class. This boy was almost perfection itself; beautifully mannered, well liked by everyone, very handsome, and extremely intelligent. As a matter of fact, this is what brought about a dilemma. Typing is, of course, a skill subject and in my classes, about half the grade comes from performance on the typewriter. The first six weeks ended and this student deserved a B. It was a well-known fact among the faculty that he had straight A’s on his entire school record. He had never made a B in his life. It really upset me to put down that B on report card day and I told him how sorry I was to have to spoil his perfect record of A. And then I was most pleasantly surprised. He smiled at me and very earnestly informed me that he deserved the B and if I had given him anything higher, he certainly would not think much of me as a teacher. Well, the outcome was that he made a B the second six weeks, too. This still did not seem to bother him. He worked diligently at developing his typing skill (this is the way he attacked all problems) and during the third six weeks, he attained his goal. He made straight A’s the rest of the year and developed into an excellent typist.

How would a person who saw others as “able” perceive the situation? Unable? How must a person perceive to behave in the manner just described?
3) Reread the definitions and make your rating on the scale provided.

**ABLE**
The teacher sees others as having capacities to deal with their problems. S/He believes others are basically able to find adequate solutions to events in their own lives.

**UNABLE**
The teacher sees others as lacking the necessary capacities to deal effectively with their problems. S/He doubts their ability to make their own decisions and run their own lives.

\[ \begin{array}{cccccc}
7 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\
\end{array} \]
4) Compare your ratings with those of the professional raters.

ABLE    7  X  5  4  3  2  1 UNABLE

The feeling of ableness is prevalent throughout the incident. The teacher believed the boy could reach the required performance level. She was also pleased with the boy’s reaction to her grading policy. He was able to understand the grades she had to assign. The problem of grading probably stemmed from a conflict between knowing the boy’s potential and the evidence of his current level of functioning. This, she related, caused her a great deal of difficulty and grief. Overall, the teacher’s belief is one of trust and ableness of her students.

EXAMPLES FOR PERCEPTIONS OF PURPOSE

(LARGER - SMALLER)

Example 1

1) Carefully examine the perceptual definitions.

LARGER
The teacher views events in a broad perspective. His/her goals extend beyond the immediate to larger implication and contexts.

SMALLER
The teacher view events in a narrow perspective. His/her purposes focus on immediate and specific goals.

The definition states that effective teachers are concerned with larger goals. To have larger goals and broader perspectives means that teachers consider the implications of classroom behavior on a student’s future; there is a concern for the future successes and happiness of students. Art Combs relates a story about a teacher holding class on the day President Kennedy was assassinated. This teacher insisted that students “stay on task” and finish their math assignments, and that discussions of what had happened should take place elsewhere. An
opportunity for genuine long-range learning was lost due to a smaller, narrower view of the teaching purpose.

2) Read the Human Relations Incident, keeping the definitions in mind.

*In my first year of teaching I was assigned to a junior high school that practiced homogeneous grouping of students. The groupings were determined by scores attained on standardized tests and the recommendations of previous teachers. The school was located in an area in which the families were mostly in the lower socio-economic group.*

In one of my 7th grade classes I had Roger as a student. Roger was a mental retardee, having at this time a chronological age of 15 and a mental age of 8. He could not write in script form and printed any written work I asked him to do. He also lacked the physical coordination of a 15 year old. He had attended the special class conducted by the elementary school he had attended for the past several years and had been socially promoted by that teacher as it was felt the association with children his own age or near to it would be of benefit to him. The financial status of his family did not permit his being enrolled in one of the special schools the area afforded.

From such personnel records as were available at the beginning of the year I knew Roger was retarded but was not aware of the extent of his retardation until some time later. Roger was not a disruptive influence in the class, being generally quiet and well behaved. He lacked the ability to participate in class discussions and when written work was assigned, he was permitted to choose a partner to help him.

After about two weeks of school I gave the class their first test. It was not a difficult test and his group, which was the lowest grouping of the 7th grade, scored rather high on the test. Roger, however, did not correctly answer a single question. I did not differentiate in grading his paper and gave him an E which was a failing grade. The next day I returned the papers and Roger, after observing his failing grade, put his head down on his desk and began to cry. One of the students told me he was crying because he got an E.

After returning all of the papers I began the discussion of the day's material, ignoring Roger for the time being. After some minutes I noticed Roger had stopped crying and was sitting up. His eyes were red and his face tear streaked. I picked up a book I had taken from the library earlier in the day and handed it to Roger with the request that he return it to the library for me. This would give him the opportunity to refresh himself. No reference was made then or later the his grade or to his crying.

Subsequent to that incident I did two things, I placed in his permanent folder a statement that he was, in my opinion, unable to do the work required at this level and due to his emotional instability he would be graded on a different scale from the rest of the class. Secondly, I did not again give Roger a failing grade.

How would a person with a larger purpose perceive in a similar situation? Smaller?

How must a person perceive to behave in the matter just described?
3) Reread the definitions and make your rating on the scale provided.

**LARGER**
The teacher views events in a broad perspective. His/her goals extend beyond the immediate to larger implications and contexts.

**SMALLER**
The teacher views events in a narrow perspective. His/her purposes focus on immediate and specific goals.

7  6  5  4  3  2  1
4) Compare your ratings with those of the professional raters.

    LARGER  7 6 5 4 X 2 1 SMALLER

The person in this incident tends to perceive in a smaller way. The concern is with the immediate situation, the boy’s inability to perform on the class test. The long-range results of the teacher’s actions, the effect they will have on the child’s future, are never taken into consideration. This teacher perceives the job as correctly labeling children based on their immediate performance rather than helping students to succeed in life. There is some redemption in the teacher’s handling of the situation by allowing the student to return the book. But the teacher perceived the purposes as more short-ranged and immediate than broad and long-ranged.

Example 2

1) Carefully examine the perceptual definitions.

   The teacher views events in a broad perspective. His/her goals extend beyond the immediate to larger implications and contexts.

   The teacher views events in a narrow perspective. His/her purposes focus on immediate and specific goals.

2) Read the Human Relations Incident, keeping the definitions in mind.

I had about 30 first graders for an art lesson of paper designs. The students needed a lot of assistance and demonstrations because this project was new to them. One student did just the opposite of the assignment and I responded with shock and said “What are you doing?” I felt irritated and wondered how the child could be so dumb. But now I think that hurt the child’s self-confidence and that in the future I should handle the situation more calmly, since art is highly self-interpretive.

In the same first grade class, I was pinning notes on students to go home. Out of the corner of my eye I saw one boy take two pins and hide them in his pocket. This boy is a discipline problem so I figured he might use these pins in a destructive way. I got very angry and shouted at the boy to return them. His eyes got large and he returned one. I got even
angrier because he gave me only one. I thought he thought he was fooling me by giving one back. I couldn’t paddle him so I yelled at him even louder, although we were face to face. He returned the last pin. I told him to sit down. He did and covered his face with his hands. Since then he has followed my directions a little more closely. I try to give him extra duties such as passing out things to make him feel useful. Still, yelling like that is more an emotional than reasonable way to handle a discipline problem.

How would a person with a larger purpose act in a similar situation? Smaller? How must a person perceive to behave in the manner just described?

3) Reread the definitions and make your rating on the scale provided.

LARGER
The teacher views events in a broad perspective. His/her goals extend beyond the immediate to larger implications and contexts.

SMALLER
The teacher views events in a narrow perspective. His/her purposes focus on immediate and specific goals.

7 6 5 4 3 2 1
4) Compare your ratings with those of the professional raters.

LARGER  7 6 5 4 3 X 1 SMALLER

The teacher’s purposes are directed at the immediate situations: trying to get through the lesson, even at the cost of personal belittlement. The goals are to control students’ behavior with little or no concern for long-range implications. The teacher never stops to ask what are the larger implications, what effect will this have on the students' lives. Thus, the perceptions of the purpose of teaching are smaller.

EXAMPLES FOR FRAME OF REFERENCE

(PEOPLE - THINGS)

Example 1

1) Carefully examine the perceptual definitions.

PEOPLE
The teacher is concerned with the human aspects of affairs. The attitudes, feelings, beliefs, and welfare of persons are prime considerations in his/her thinking.

THINGS
The teacher is concerned with the impersonal aspects of affairs. Questions of order, management, mechanics, and details of things and events are prime considerations in his/her thinking.

The events a person chooses to write about supply information about his perceptions and values. People-oriented teachers are concerned with individual students and the unique situations that arise and require solutions. The definition states that students’ beliefs, feelings, and attitudes are of prime consideration to effective teachers. They realize that significant learning takes place through experiences that are personally meaningful.

2) Read the Human Relations Incident, keeping the definitions in mind.

One of the problems facing any new teacher is the problem of discipline and the enforcing of school rules and regulations. Specific regulations will vary from school to school.
but there are a few which are usually in effect at most secondary schools. These will prohibit students from smoking while school is in session, from leaving the school property without permission, mandatory attendance at classes, etc. Disregard of some of the regulations may be handled by the classroom teacher but in other instances the teacher is required to report any infraction to the school administrator or other official. In this regard, a question that is immediately raised is do you report all infractions committed by the student, or does the reporting by the teacher depend upon the source of information from which the knowledge of the infraction is derived?

For example, if the teacher apprehends a student in the act of violating a school regulation, the duty of the teacher is clear. But on the other hand, if the student volunteers such information about himself, is the teacher obligated to report the infraction or does he have the moral responsibility of respecting the confidence of the student and remain silent? As an illustration, I once had a teenaged boy tell me that he had, during the preceding week, brought a bottle of wine to school and secreted it in his locker. During class changes and at other opportunities he and his friends had gone to the locker and drank from the bottle. I did not report this incident to the school administration.

In dealing with cases of students violating school discipline I generally followed these guidelines. If the information regarding a breach of discipline was given to me by a person other than the student involved or was unearthed by me in the course of an investigation on my part, I believed this information could and should be reported if the seriousness of the offense required it to be. However, if the information was volunteered by the student involved in the infraction, I would respect his confidence and remain quiet unless I had first warned him that any disclosure on his part might be reported.

My actions in this connection were predicated on the belief to secure the trust and confidence of the student you must earn it by demonstrating that you deserve it.

How would a person perceive if he were people-oriented? Things-oriented? How must a person perceive to behave in the manner just described?

3) Reread the definitions and make your rating on the scale provided.

**PEOPLE**
The teacher is concerned with the human aspects of affairs. The attitudes, feelings, beliefs, and welfare of persons are prime considerations in his/her thinking.

**THINGS**
The teacher is concerned with the impersonal aspects of affairs. Questions of order, management, mechanics, and detail of things and events are prime considerations in his/her thinking.

7  6  5  4  3  2  1
4) Compare your rating with those of the professional raters.

PEOPLE 7 6 5 X 3 2 1 THINGS

Good teachers are concerned with details and mechanics of events, but realize that their purpose is to benefit people. Sometimes teachers forget the real purpose of education and start to perceive it as a matter of manipulating things rather than assisting people. The incident shows a teacher who is concerned with details and mechanics of events and with policies. However, a concern for students and their rights was also expressed. This is the reasoning behind a rating of 4.

Example 2

1) Carefully examine the perceptual definitions.

PEOPLE
The teacher is concerned with the human aspects of affairs. The attitudes, feelings, beliefs, and welfare of persons are prime considerations in his/her thinking.

THINGS
The teacher is concerned with the impersonal aspects of affairs. Questions of order, management, mechanics, and details of things and events are prime considerations in his/her thinking.

2) Read the Human Relations Incident, keeping the definitions in mind.

In my first year of teaching French, I taught one class at 8:00 A.M., a class of about 20 boys of freshmen through seniors at a large university. I thoroughly enjoyed this class but there is one incident that I would like to do over and differently. On the first day of class I noticed a boy who appeared sullen and who wore a sarcastic grin all through class. As I was rather scared and on the defensive, I was very cool towards him and made sure he was on his toes every minute. After several classes he still appeared to have the same attitude. One day I happened to mention his name to a fellow teacher. She said, “Oh, isn’t he nice, but he is so self-conscious about his huge size. He looks as though he would like to disappear under the table.” Frankly, I had never noticed his size but I watched him during class and I was amazed to discover that the other instructor was right. His grin was one of self-consciousness and he was very shy. I immediately changed my attitude and at the end of the semester we were good friends. I often wonder what would have happened if I hadn’t been “clued in.” If I could begin that class over, I would try to see himself and the class as that boy saw them. I would try not to
jump to conclusions and I would try to take the whole situation more philosophically so that I would be less on the defensive to begin with.

How would a person perceive in a similar situation if he were people-oriented? Things-oriented? How must a person perceive to behave in the manner just described?

3) Reread the definitions and make your rating on the scale provided.

**PEOPLE**
The teacher is concerned with the human aspects of affairs. The attitudes, feelings, beliefs, and welfare of persons are prime considerations in his/her thinking.

**THINGS**
The teacher is concerned with the impersonal aspects of affairs. Questions of order, management, mechanics, and details of things and events are prime considerations in his/her thinking.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>7</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
4) Compare your ratings with those of the professional raters.

PEOPLE    7   6   X   4   3   2   1    THINGS

The incident that the person chooses to relate and the manner in which it is described indicates a strong people-orientation. The teacher is very concerned with students’ attitudes and feelings, and she is astonished with her own inability to understand the student’s motives. She apparently believes that she usually does much better. It is also unlikely that a less people-oriented individual would have achieved such an insight. This teacher’s primary concerns evidently were not with the order, management, or mechanics of the situation, but rather with the human aspects.

CONCLUSION

It is hoped that the process of making perceptual inferences is now more clearly understood. Reliable perceptual inferences require more than categorizing behaviors. They involve inferring a person’s fundamental beliefs, values, and attitudes from their behavior.

Chapter Five presents a method by which you will be able to evaluate a Human Relations Incident on all four perceptual factors. Additional practice protocols are provided along with ratings and rationales of professional raters.
By now you should have a basic understanding of how to make perceptual inferences. The intent of this chapter is to expand that skill into an evaluation scheme that should prove useful in making selection decisions. This chapter contains eight Human Relations Incidents. As in Chapter Four, these were submitted by teachers or students enrolled in advanced undergraduate or graduate education courses. And, once again, for students with no prior teaching experience, the term “teaching role” was changed to “helping role” in the instructions for writing Human Relations Incidents. Scoring of these incidents will take place on a score sheet shown in Table B. Each Human Relations Incident will be preceded by a score sheet for that incident. The ratings and rationales of the professional raters will follow each incident. The procedure you will use for evaluating the Human Relations Incidents will be as follows:

1) Read the Human Relations Incident.

2) Refamiliarize yourself with the four perceptual factors.

3) Reread the Human Relations Incident, keeping the definitions in mind.

4) Select and score on the factor which seems most appropriate for the particular Human Relations Incident.

5) Examine the remaining factors, reread the Human Relations Incident if necessary, and rate.

Thus, upon completing this process, you will have rated each protocol on each of the four perceptual factors. The scores on each factor can be added together to come up with a total score. A maximum possible total is 28, and the minimum possible is 4. In general, a total score
### TABLE B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PERCEPTUAL RATING SCALE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PERCEPTIONS OF SELF:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IDENTIFIED</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The teacher feels an oneness with all people. S/He perceives him/herself as deeply and meaningfully related to persons of every description.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNIDENTIFIED</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The teacher feels generally apart from others. His/her feelings of oneness are restricted to those of similar beliefs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PERCEPTIONS OF OTHERS:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ABLE</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The teacher sees others as having capacities to deal with their problems. S/He believes others are basically able to find adequate solutions to events in their own lives.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNABLE</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The teacher sees others as lacking the necessary capacities to deal effectively with their problems. S/He doubts their ability to make their own decisions and run their own lives.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PERCEPTIONS OF PURPOSE:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LARGER</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The teacher views events in a broad perspective. His/her goals extend beyond the immediate to larger implications and contexts.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SMALLER</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The teacher views events in a narrow perspective. His/her purposes focus on immediate and specific goals.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FRAME OF REFERENCE:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PEOPLE</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The teacher is concerned with the human aspects of affairs. The attitudes, feelings, beliefs, and welfare of persons are prime considerations in his/her thinking.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>THINGS</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The teacher is concerned with the impersonal aspects of affairs. Questions of order, management, mechanics, and details of things and events are prime considerations in his/her thinking.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
between 18 and 28 indicates perceptions that have been demonstrated to be characteristic of effective teachers. A score between 4 and 14 indicates perceptions which have been demonstrated to be characteristic of ineffective teachers. A total score between 14 and 18 could indicate one of several things:

A) The person’s perceptions are between the two definitions.

B) Neither of the definitions would fit the available information.

C) The incident may not have supplied you with enough information on which to infer.

The scores obtained should be considered approximate. Variations are expected, even among highly trained raters. The discriminating power of this method comes when you have attained 80 percent agreement with the trained raters. The actual discriminating power is between effective teachers’ perceptions and ineffective teachers’ perceptions, not between the degree of effectiveness of good teachers or the degree of effectiveness of poor teachers. After completing this chapter, you may wish to assess the accuracy of your ratings. This can be computed simply by adding up the total number of inferences that you made. (That is, four inferences for each incident, 32 in total.) Then add up the number of inferences you made that were within the acceptable range, and divide the latter by the former.

Protocol # 51

On the first day of school I had a three year old who was completely oblivious of me. He acted as if he could not hear me or see me. The entire morning he ran around the room pulling toys off the shelves. He seemed to have no interest in what I was doing. I tried to ignore him, but it was difficult because the other children kept an eye on him and were constantly telling me what he was doing. When I approached him, he screamed and ran across the room.

I tried to approach him. I tried to coax him into joining us. Every time he looked at me he gave me a sly smile as if he was enjoying making me unhappy. I ended up ignoring him.

I felt very badly about the situation. I felt that I was not in control and that he was manipulating me.
Now that two weeks have gone by, I feel a great deal better about things. He still is
difficult, but under control. He is much more interested in things in class and wants to
participate in everything. Today he was a leader, which means he cleaned up well after free
play. I feel that I didn’t handle him well the first day. Through positive reinforcement I keep
him in line. I believe I should have had more patience with him and myself the first day.
**PERCEPTUAL RATING SCALE**

**PERCEPTIONS OF SELF:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IDENTIFIED</th>
<th>UNIDENTIFIED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The teacher feels an oneness with all people. S/He perceives him/herself as deeply and meaningfully related to persons of every description.</td>
<td>The teacher feels generally apart from others. His/her feelings of oneness are restricted to those of similar beliefs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

**PERCEPTIONS OF OTHERS:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ABLE</th>
<th>UNABLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The teacher sees others as having capacities to deal with their problems. S/He believes others are basically able to find adequate solutions to events in their own lives.</td>
<td>The teacher sees others as lacking the necessary capacities to deal effectively with their problems. S/He doubts their ability to make their own decisions and run their own lives.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

**PERCEPTIONS OF PURPOSE:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LARGER</th>
<th>SMALLER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The teacher views events in a broad perspective. His/her goals extend beyond the immediate to larger implications and contexts.</td>
<td>The teacher views events in a narrow perspective. His/her purposes focus on immediate and specific goals.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

**FRAME OF REFERENCE:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PEOPLE</th>
<th>THINGS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The teacher is concerned with the human aspects of affairs. The attitudes, feelings, beliefs, and welfare of persons are prime considerations in his/her thinking.</td>
<td>The teacher is concerned with the impersonal aspects of affairs. Questions of order, management, mechanics, and details of things and events are prime considerations in his/her thinking.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
EXAMPLE #51

IDENTIFIED 7 6 5 4 X 2 1 UNIDENTIFIED
ABLE 7 6 5 4 X 2 1 UNABLE
LARGER 7 6 5 4 X 2 1 SMALLER
PEOPLE 7 6 5 4 X 2 1 THINGS

RATIONALE:

Identified-Unidentified The feelings one infers from this incident are not ones of “oneness” with all mankind. The boy involved in the incident is a case in point. The teacher feels somewhat apart from him. She doesn’t really understand or identify with his feelings or problems.

Able-Unable The feeling is that people are generally unable to handle their own problems. This is expressed by her need to have control over the people in her environment.

Larger-Smaller The teacher views events in a narrower perspective. She is concerned more with the immediate situation (control) than with any larger implications (how to help this boy with his problems).

People-Things It is true that most of this incident is spent talking about people, but the major concern is not with the feelings, beliefs, and welfare of the people involved. Rather there is a concern for order and management. The teacher says “I didn’t handle him well” and “I keep him in line” rather than “I tried to find out what made him act the way he did.”

Protocol #62

While teaching 6th grade beginning band students, I had one male student who had a problem. John (not his real name) would forget his music, instrument, reeds, or just not come to class at all. John was large for his age and loved any kind of sports. He would use any excuse not to come to band rehearsal, to include a scratch, bumps on some elbow, etc.

Finally, I called his mother who informed me that she had played the old clarinet which John was using and she wanted him to have some of the fine arts. The father was an athlete and wanted John to be an athlete also. Therefore, John was being pulled in two directions, trying to please both parents at the same time. I encouraged John and reinforced him at every opportunity. However, John’s true love was sports.

John made up his mind one day. His clarinet was squeaking and he became so irritated that he broke the clarinet in halves over his knee.
He knew he was in trouble with his mother, and would either have to tell her a lie about the clarinet and how it got broken or tell her the truth he hated the clarinet. I continued the rehearsal. John got some glue and tried to repair the clarinet which was broken beyond repair. I did not report the incident to John’s mother because either way John went (lie or truth) his band days were over. John told me later he would not be in band anymore.

At the time of this incident, I felt frustration. Partly, I felt proud of John on taking a stand and making a decision. But, I felt that I should have sent him to the school principal as an example to the other students.

Now I am satisfied with my decision of letting John handle his own problem in his own way.
PERCEPTUAL RATING SCALE

PERCEPTIONS OF SELF:

IDENTIFIED
The teacher feels an oneness with all people. S/He perceives him/herself as deeply and meaningfully related to persons of every description.

UNIDENTIFIED
The teacher feels generally apart from others. His/her feelings of oneness are restricted to those of similar beliefs.

7 6 5 4 3 2 1

PERCEPTIONS OF OTHERS:

ABLE
The teacher sees others as having capacities to deal with their problems. S/He believes others are basically able to find adequate solutions to events in their own lives.

UNABLE
The teacher sees others as lacking the necessary capacities to deal effectively with their problems. S/He doubts their ability to make their own decisions and run their own lives.

7 6 5 4 3 2 1

PERCEPTIONS OF PURPOSE:

LARGER
The teacher views events in a broad perspective. His/her goals extend beyond the immediate to larger implications and contexts.

SMALLER
The teacher views events in a narrow perspective. His/her purposes focus on immediate and specific goals.

7 6 5 4 3 2 1

FRAME OF REFERENCE:

PEOPLE
The teacher is concerned with the human aspects of affairs. The attitudes, feelings, beliefs, and welfare of persons are prime considerations in his/her thinking.

THINGS
The teacher is concerned with the impersonal aspects of affairs. Questions of order, management, mechanics, and details of things and events are prime considerations in his/her thinking.

7 6 5 4 3 2 1
EXAMPLE # 62

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IDENTIFIED</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UNIDENTIFIED</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| ABLE | 7 | 6 | X | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| UNABLE | 7 | 6 | X | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

| LARGER | 7 | 6 | X | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| SMALLER | 7 | 6 | X | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

| PEOPLE | 7 | 6 | X | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| THINGS | 7 | 6 | X | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

RATIONALE:

Identified-Unidentified This teacher does show a degree of identification with others, but the degree of identification falls somewhere between a feeling of “oneness” and feelings restricted to persons of similar beliefs. Thus a score of 4 was assigned.

Able-Unable The teacher believes that John has the ability to make his own decisions. This shows a belief that people are basically able to solve their own problems. It also shows a trust in people's ability to help themselves.

Larger-Smaller On this scale, the teacher's perceptions fell between the two definitions.

People-Things The incident indicates a concern for people-problems. The teacher was aware of the struggle that John had to make between music and hurting his mother, and sports and doing what he really wanted to do. Along with this awareness was a concern for John’s feelings and his overall welfare.

PROTOCOL #60

Approximately fifty miles into the Kaisut Desert the Land Rover in which I was riding had another flat tire. The driver and one of his assistants were working on the tire, and a group of men and boys from the village of Kergi came to investigate. Our driver inquired as to the possibility of our photographing some of the people of the village; a disagreement took place, and no pictures were allowed. Four holes were found in the tube of the tire, so we were in for a rather long wait until they could be repaired. The men drifted away gradually, but the young boys stayed to observe. Only two girls about five years old and three very elderly women were seen; the rest of the females had taken the group’s camels to Lake Turkana for water. As I was leaning against the Land Rover, some of the boys came up to me and addressed me in up-country Swahili. The others in our group seemed uninterested in the proceedings and remained in the car. I answered as well as possible in very rough Swahili. The boys, who ranged in age from four to twelve, laughed heartily at my attempts to speak their tongue.
I sat down on the ground and was immediately surrounded by about 15 or 20 of the boys. I was still laughing at their laughing at me. I simply smiled and tried some more Swahili. They laughed again, drew closer, and tried out their rudimentary English on me. I laughed and tried to help them pronounce their words more correctly. They seemed to be thoroughly enjoying the proceedings, and I went into a math lesson, drawing numbers in the sand with my fingers and saying the number in English. On through ten was mastered quickly, but we had some trouble with eleven through twenty. Some of the boys wanted to explore me. Then the others had to try. They tentatively touched my hair and giggled. My glasses also got passed around carefully, each boy trying to look through some very thick lenses. The looks of astonishment were followed by more laughing. They inspected my fingers and fingernails; then they wanted to see everything in my pockets. The sight of a few shillings in my pocket caused a mild panic; they all wanted the money. I pushed the few coins back in my pocket and continued with the English lesson. A thought occurred to me. Here I was, in the middle of nowhere, with no books, no paper, no pencils, nothing, teaching and learning with about twenty children who seemed as fascinated with me as I was with them. Our “class” lasted about twenty minutes; the punctures had been repaired, and we had to be on our way. I told them all good-bye in English and in Swahili; they did likewise and waved vigorously as we drove further into the desert.

As the situation occurred, I was euphoric at the events of that morning and this was the absolute highlight. I felt a strange sense of having taught something, but even more, the joy of having the time to spend with those children. I still feel the same way about the “class.” The only thing I would change would be to have been able to spend more time.
PERCEPTUAL RATING SCALE

PERCEPTIONS OF SELF:

**IDENTIFIED**
The teacher feels an oneness with all people. S/He perceives him/herself as deeply and meaningfully related to persons of every description.

**UNIDENTIFIED**
The teacher feels generally apart from others. His/her feelings of oneness are restricted to those of similar beliefs.

7 6 5 4 3 2 1

PERCEPTIONS OF OTHERS:

**ABLE**
The teacher sees others as having capacities to deal with their problems. S/He believes others are basically able to find adequate solutions to events in their own lives.

**UNABLE**
The teacher sees others as lacking the necessary capacities to deal effectively with their problems. S/He doubts their ability to make their own decisions and run their own lives.

7 6 5 4 3 2 1

PERCEPTIONS OF PURPOSE:

**LARGER**
The teacher views events in a broad perspective. His/her goals extend beyond the immediate to larger implications and contexts.

**SMALLER**
The teacher views events in a narrow perspective. His/her purposes focus on immediate and specific goals.

7 6 5 4 3 2 1

FRAME OF REFERENCE:

**PEOPLE**
The teacher is concerned with the human aspects of affairs. The attitudes, feelings, beliefs, and welfare of persons are prime considerations in his/her thinking.

**THINGS**
The teacher is concerned with the impersonal aspects of affairs. Questions of order, management, mechanics, and details of things and events are prime considerations in his/her thinking.

7 6 5 4 3 2 1
EXAMPLE # 60

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IDENTIFIED</th>
<th>UNIDENTIFIED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 X 5 4 3 2 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ABLE</th>
<th>UNABLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 6 X 4 3 2 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LARGER</th>
<th>SMALLER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 6 X 4 3 2 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PEOPLE</th>
<th>THINGS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 X 5 4 3 2 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RATIONALE:

Identified-Unidentified  A high degree of identification was apparent in this incident. The person had the ability to relate to people with as diverse a background as you could get. There was a feeling of “oneness” with all humankind.

Able-Unable  This factor was little harder to infer. The evidence indicates a belief that people handle themselves and their problems ably.

Larger-Smaller  The person’s perspective were broad. The person focused on things that could and probably would affect the lives of the children for a long time to come, rather than on immediate and short-range goals associated with the repair of the tire.

People-Things  This person is concerned with the human aspects of affairs. A high degree of people concern is seen in the interaction with the tribal children.

Protocol # 70

The incident happened during student teaching at one of the high schools of that particular town. My major at that time was Health and Physical Education. There was a track meet with several schools participating. I was assigned by my supervising teacher to keep time for the runner in Lane Three. Once the meet started, I flicked the stopwatch on. Somewhere during the course of the event, my watch stopped. I really don’t know what caused it to stop but at the end of the event without realizing the watch had stopped, I reported the time to the score table, and realized that the time was so far off until it couldn’t possibly have been correct.

Later, I reported that I had given the wrong time due to a faulty mechanism. By being on the field during student teaching, I felt that it was the most embarrassing thing that could have happened to me. I was certain that it would affect my grade, but the coach verified my statement.

If the situation occurred again, I would check my equipment thoroughly before engaging in any worthwhile activities.
PERCEPTUAL RATING SCALE

PERCEPTIONS OF SELF:

**IDENTIFIED**
The teacher feels an oneness with all people. S/He perceives him/herself as deeply and meaningfully related to persons of every description.

**UNIDENTIFIED**
The teacher feels generally apart from others. His/her feelings of oneness are restricted to those of similar beliefs.

7 6 5 4 3 2 1

PERCEPTIONS OF OTHERS:

**ABLE**
The teacher sees others as having capacities to deal with their problems. S/He believes others are basically able to find adequate solutions to events in their own lives.

**UNABLE**
The teacher sees others as lacking the necessary capacities to deal effectively with their problems. S/He doubts their ability to make their own decisions and run their own lives.

7 6 5 4 3 2 1

PERCEPTIONS OF PURPOSE:

**LARGER**
The teacher views events in a broad perspective. His/her goals extend beyond the immediate to larger implications and contexts.

**SMALLER**
The teacher views events in a narrow perspective. His/her purposes focus on immediate and specific goals.

7 6 5 4 3 2 1

FRAME OF REFERENCE:

**PEOPLE**
The teacher is concerned with the human aspects of affairs. The attitudes, feelings, beliefs, and welfare of persons are prime considerations in his/her thinking.

**THINGS**
The teacher is concerned with the impersonal aspects of affairs. Questions of order, management, mechanics, and details of things and events are prime considerations in his/her thinking.

7 6 5 4 3 2 1
EXAMPLE # 70

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IDENTIFIED</th>
<th>UNIDENTIFIED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 6 5 X 3 2 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ABLE</th>
<th>UNABLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 6 5 X 3 2 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LARGER</th>
<th>SMALLER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 6 5 4 X 2 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PEOPLE</th>
<th>THINGS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 6 5 4 X 2 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RATIONALE:

**Identified-Unidentified** This one was not easy to infer. The person’s perceptions were between the two definitions. It may be that the person’s feelings of “oneness” were overshadowed by a concern to vindicate himself.

**Able-Unable** Again, the person fell between the two definitions.

**Larger-Smaller** The perspective is narrow one. The person never focuses on the broader implications which include the students involved in the particular incident.

**People-Things** A feeling that stood out was the concern for the details of the situation. The effects of the incident on the runner were never mentioned.

Protocol #92

*There was this 6-year-old little black girl called Sara in 1st grade. She always pretended to be someone else. This person that she pretended to be always was a little white girl called Linda. She tried to dress like Linda, act like Linda, she even tried to change her little voice to talk like Linda. Linda had a deep Southern voice and it sounded pretty bad at times, but this was her way of talking so I didn’t let that bother me, but what bothered me was Sara imitating her. So one day I called Sara in to talk to her and I asked her if there was anything that she could change about herself, what would be the changes? She replied, “I would be white like Linda, so I could be pretty.” I then told Sara I thought she was very pretty just as she was and that she had very pretty skin and she should be very proud of herself because there’s a lot of people that think the world of her. At first I felt bad about the situation, because somewhere back in Sara’s little mind she thought that because of her color, she was not as good or attractive as the person she really thought she wanted to be. But after talking with her I found that the reason she wanted to be someone else that she couldn’t be was that some of the other children had been calling her names and telling her she was ugly and that nobody cared for her, which wasn’t true. This same little girl, who is not little anymore, now seems to be very proud of herself and she’s a very intelligent, smart person. I feel that I helped Sara to understand a little better to be proud of herself as she is. If I could have changed anything then about the situation, it would have been no more than I did then but to talk to this child and try to instill confidence in her which was lacking about herself.*
PERCEPTUAL RATING SCALE

PERCEPTIONS OF SELF:

**IDENTIFIED**
The teacher feels an oneness with all people. S/He perceives him/herself as deeply and meaningfully related to persons of every description.

**UNIDENTIFIED**
The teacher feels generally apart from others. His/her feelings of oneness are restricted to those of similar beliefs.

7 6 5 4 3 2 1

PERCEPTIONS OF OTHERS:

**ABLE**
The teacher sees others as having capacities to deal with their problems. S/He believes others are basically able to find adequate solutions to events in their own lives.

**UNABLE**
The teacher sees others as lacking the necessary capacities to deal effectively with their problems. S/He doubts their ability to make their own decisions and run their own lives.

7 6 5 4 3 2 1

PERCEPTIONS OF PURPOSE:

**LARGER**
The teacher views events in a broad perspective. His/her goals extend beyond the immediate to larger implications and contexts.

**SMALLER**
The teacher views events in a narrow perspective. His/her purposes focus on immediate and specific goals.

7 6 5 4 3 2 1

FRAME OF REFERENCE:

**PEOPLE**
The teacher is concerned with the human aspects of affairs. The attitudes, feelings, beliefs, and welfare of persons are prime considerations in his/her thinking.

**THINGS**
The teacher is concerned with the impersonal aspects of affairs. Questions of order, management, mechanics, and details of things and events are prime considerations in his/her thinking.

7 6 5 4 3 2 1
EXAMPLE # 92

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IDENTIFIED</th>
<th>UNIDENTIFIED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 6 X 4 3 2 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ABLE</th>
<th>UNABLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 6 5 X 3 2 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LARGER</th>
<th>SMALLER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 6 X 4 3 2 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PEOPLE</th>
<th>THINGS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 6 X 4 3 2 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RATIONALE:

Identified-Unidentified The feelings expressed in this incident indicate an identification with people. The teacher takes pride in the fact that a student has progressed and is doing quite well. The teacher is interested in understanding why the student feels the way she does. This concern comes from an identification with people.

Able-Unable On this scale, the perceptions fell between the definitions.

Larger-Smaller The teacher’s goals are larger ones. The teacher is concerned with the implications of events for the long-range effects they will have.

People-Things This incident shows a people-orientation. There is much concern for the little girl’s feelings, beliefs, and welfare. These concerns are primary and only after they are met comes a concern for things and events.

Protocol# 100

I was in my assistant level in the second grade. The teacher asked me to take three students to the library to help them with subtracting and borrowing. I took three girls to the library. One of the girls did not know what she was doing at all. She could not carry in addition and did not know her subtraction basic facts well enough to do the borrowing. Another girl seemed to know what she was doing and knew the steps but would not do it. She kept asking to get a drink or sharpen her pencil or go look at the books. The last girl just said she wasn’t going to do the problems. I could not tell if she knew how to do it or not. After about ten to fifteen minutes, I was almost yelling at them because none of them were trying and I could not get them to try. So I took them all back to the room and had to tell the teacher that I had accomplished nothing with them and that I did not think I could work with three at a time. The next day, though, she still wanted us, the assistants, to help the students who were having problems with borrowing. The teacher asked me to take three different students this time. I took one boy and two girls and one of the girls was one of the ones I had had the day before. This time all three of them sat down and started working. I showed them each how to do two or three problems and then they all did the rest by themselves.
When the first students did not do their work, I tried praising them if they did anything at all. But this only worked for a minute. They just did not want to work.

My feelings about that situation were frustration and lack of knowledge of what to do to get them to work.

After that first day, I thought I was not cut out for teaching but the next day when I walked into the library with three students who did not know how to borrow and when we walked out and each of them could work the problems on their own, my spirits and self-confidence came back.
PERCEPTUAL RATING SCALE

PERCEPTIONS OF SELF:

IDENTIFIED
The teacher feels an oneness with all people. S/He perceives him/herself as deeply and meaningfully related to persons of every description.

UNIDENTIFIED
The teacher feels generally apart from others. His/her feelings of oneness are restricted to those of similar beliefs.

7 6 5 4 3 2 1

PERCEPTIONS OF OTHERS:

ABLE
The teacher sees others as having capacities to deal with their problems. S/He believes others are basically able to find adequate solutions to events in their own lives.

UNABLE
The teacher sees others as lacking the necessary capacities to deal effectively with their problems. S/He doubts their ability to make their own decisions and run their own lives.

7 6 5 4 3 2 1

PERCEPTIONS OF PURPOSE:

LARGER
The teacher views events in a broad perspective. His/her goals extend beyond the immediate to larger implications and contexts.

SMALLER
The teacher views events in a narrow perspective. His/her purposes focus on immediate and specific goals.

7 6 5 4 3 2 1

FRAME OF REFERENCE:

PEOPLE
The teacher is concerned with the human aspects of affairs. The attitudes, feelings, beliefs, and welfare of persons are prime considerations in his/her thinking.

THINGS
The teacher is concerned with the impersonal aspects of affairs. Questions of order, management, mechanics, and details of things and events are prime considerations in his/her thinking.

7 6 5 4 3 2 1
EXAMPLE # 100

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IDENTIFIED</th>
<th>UNIDENTIFIED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 6 5 X 3 2 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ABLE</th>
<th>UNABLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 6 5 X 3 2 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LARGER</th>
<th>SMALLER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 6 5 4 X 2 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PEOPLE</th>
<th>THINGS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 6 5 X 3 2 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RATIONALE:

Identified-Unidentified The feelings underlying this incident are not strong feelings of closeness, but neither are there feelings of separation. Therefore a rating of 4 was made.

Able-Unable Here again, the feelings fall close to the center of the definition. The person perceives teaching as something done to students rather than something dynamic, involving students in the process.

Larger-Smaller Here the perceptions lean toward the smaller end of the scale. More importance was placed on the completion of the immediate task (borrowing) rather than taking the time to know the students and their problems in a meaningful way.

People-Things The teacher’s dealings with the students were less concerned with feelings and beliefs, and more concerned with the mechanics and management involved in teaching “borrowing.”

Protocol # 105

Environment: Classroom, 6th grade, math. Frustrated student because he cannot accomplish a certain task that I wanted him to perform. He seemed to feel very withdrawn and introverted, alone and afraid.

When at first I understood his true feelings, I knew that I must gain his respect and trust before I could begin to help him understand his difficulty in accomplishing his required task. This was somewhat of a problem because of the little time we had known one another.

I felt some pressure from him because I did not at first believe that he was actually afraid of me. Who am I to frighten or hurt people? Then I realized that possibly I was threatening his security; in other words, would embarrass and put him down in front of his peers.

Taking these emotions and feelings into consideration, I tried to convey to him my like for him and the acceptance of his feelings. I understood how he felt and wanted to help without harsh overtones or embarrassment. I approached the problem in this manner and the results were better than expected.
One day when I was doing my student teaching, my assigned teacher was absent and a substitute was called in. The kids disliked her very much. Her ideas of maintaining control in the classroom weren’t exactly of my standards either. She tried to instill a certain amount of fear to begin with to establish her control and authority of the classroom. Certain phrases such as “Button your lips!” etc. weren’t uncommon.

The kids then began to complain to me in confidence and, of course, I was elicited for some type of response! “What could I say?” “What tact could I exhibit towards their feelings without deteriorating professional ethics?” These were my feelings.

I then attempted to explain to the kids why Mrs. _____ acted the way she did; that because of her lack of knowledge (about the students’ individual capabilities) she felt a certain need to maintain order. I explained to them that it is indicative of certain people’s personality to behave in certain ways, not to be judged good or bad, but rather to accept and attempt to cope in the best way possible. I assured them that if they kept to their studies and did not partake in idle chitchat, then all would be well and no one would be embarrassed or called down in front of the class.

They seemed to understand and then major things began to happen. The substitute no longer felt the need to raise her voice and exhibit an impression of strength and power. The students actually accomplished more work than I had anticipated considering...And I was somewhat taken off the hook. No longer was it necessary for me to have to explain the characterization of the substitute and intentions thereof.
PERCEPTUAL RATING SCALE

PERCEPTIONS OF SELF:

IDENTIFIED
The teacher feels an oneness with all people. S/He perceives him/herself as deeply and meaningfully related to persons of every description.

UNIDENTIFIED
The teacher feels generally apart from others. His/her feelings of oneness are restricted to those of similar beliefs.

| 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

PERCEPTIONS OF OTHERS:

ABLE
The teacher sees others as having capacities to deal with their problems. S/He believes others are basically able to find adequate solutions to events in their own lives.

UNABLE
The teacher sees others as lacking the necessary capacities to deal effectively with their problems. S/He doubts their ability to make their own decisions and run their own lives.

| 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

PERCEPTIONS OF PURPOSE:

LARGER
The teacher views events in a broad perspective. His/her goals extend beyond the immediate to larger implications and contexts.

SMALLER
The teacher views events in a narrow perspective. His/her purposes focus on immediate and specific goals.

| 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

FRAME OF REFERENCE:

PEOPLE
The teacher is concerned with the human aspects of affairs. The attitudes, feelings, beliefs, and welfare of persons are prime considerations in his/her thinking.

THINGS
The teacher is concerned with the impersonal aspects of affairs. Questions of order, management, mechanics, and details of things and events are prime considerations in his/her thinking.

| 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
EXAMPLE # 105

IDENTIFIED 7 6 X 4 3 2 1 UNIDENTIFIED
ABLE 7 X 5 4 3 2 1 UNABLE
LARGER 7 6 X 4 3 2 1 SMALLER
PEOPLE 7 X 5 4 3 2 1 THINGS

RATIONALE:

Identified-Unidentified This person has the ability to understand others' points of view. She can identify with the substitute teacher even though she does not agree with her, and she can identify with the students.

Able-Unable The feeling that students are able permeates the entire incident. This is expressed through the time and effort that the teacher devotes to explaining things to the students. She feels that students are generally able and will benefit from such explanations -- that is, they have the ability to understand and are willing to use such information.

Larger-Smaller This teacher views events from a larger perspective. There is a concern for helping students be successful in ways that will have lasting influence on them. For example, the teacher realizes that emotions, feelings, and the way a person looks in the eyes of his peers all are important to the learning situation.

People-Things The primary importance was placed on people rather than the management and manipulation of things. From the very beginning, the teacher attempted to understand the students’ feelings. She was continuously concerned with feelings, beliefs and welfare of students. She also was concerned with management and order, but those were put in perspective in relation to the more important people-problems.

PROTOCOL # 109

The teacher asked me to make two games for the classroom. He said that he wanted me to get a 'feel' of what it was like making a game. He told me that the games would be used in the classroom as a review, while I was there.

He informed me I would be making the games for one entire week at the school during class time. The children were having countywide testing and I found no sense in even being there because I didn’t even see or work with the children. I made the two games.
I felt that the teacher was just making me do busy work because the children weren’t anywhere near the end of the unit which the games were directed towards. He also told me the games were to be the school’s and not mine. I asked why. He told me because they were on school material. I suggested paying him for them. He told me to speak to the assistant principal. So I did. The assistant principal said to just copy them and forget the whole incident. Meanwhile, the games weren’t used while I was there.

I am very hurt because I wasted my time and effort on those games. I’ve also learned that if I get a student teacher, I’ll never do anything to morally harm him or her as this teacher did. I feel if I wouldn’t have had other field experiences before, this could have done a lot of damage to me and I possibly would have dropped out of teaching. As a result of all this, my final evaluation suffered.

The preceding incident I think caused another situation. The teacher tells me that someone reported me “badmouthing” the school. He said I would be contacted by the assistant principal and this person would be there. I asked the name of the person and he refused to tell me. I asked what I allegedly said and again he refused to tell me. I had two weeks left of that experience so I tried making the best of it even though it was hard. I never said anything good or bad about that school!

I tried to ignore it, but I did inform my senior leader about it. I was hurt and very confused. At least if he was to tell me about the accusation, tell me the whole story, not part of it. I felt like breaking down and crying, but I didn’t ...there. Nothing else was said of the issue. I was never contacted.

I feel this teacher was out to get me in one way or another. I think it was a very low, dirty trick. I should have gone and reported him instead of having me torn inside. I think he did it because he was very insecure and only a second year teacher.
PERCEPTUAL RATING SCALE

PERCEPTIONS OF SELF:

IDENTIFIED
The teacher feels an oneness with all people. S/He perceives him/herself as deeply and meaningfully related to persons of every description.

UNIDENTIFIED
The teacher feels generally apart from others. His/her feelings of oneness are restricted to those of similar beliefs.

7 6 5 4 3 2 1

PERCEPTIONS OF OTHERS:

ABLE
The teacher sees others as having capacities to deal with their problems. S/He believes others are basically able to find adequate solutions to events in their own lives.

UNABLE
The teacher sees others as lacking the necessary capacities to deal effectively with their problems. S/He doubts their ability to make their own decisions and run their own lives.

7 6 5 4 3 2 1

PERCEPTIONS OF PURPOSE:

LARGER
The teacher views events in a broad perspective. His/her goals extend beyond the immediate to larger implications and contexts.

SMALLER
The teacher views events in a narrow perspective. His/her purposes focus on immediate and specific goals.

7 6 5 4 3 2 1

FRAME OF REFERENCE:

PEOPLE
The teacher is concerned with the human aspects of affairs. The attitudes, feelings, beliefs, and welfare of persons are prime considerations in his/her thinking.

THINGS
The teacher is concerned with the impersonal aspects of affairs. Questions of order, management, mechanics, and details of things and events are prime considerations in his/her thinking.

7 6 5 4 3 2 1
EXAMPLE # 109

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IDENTIFIED</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>UNIDENTIFIED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABLE</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>UNABLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LARGER</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>SMALLER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEOPLE</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>THINGS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RATIONALE:

**Identified-Unidentified** The feelings throughout this incident are not ones of identification. The person feels generally apart from others. The person lacks the ability or willingness to understand others' viewpoints.

**Able-Unable** The general feeling is that others are unable to cope with their problems. There is a feeling that others are seen as unable and, if left to their own devices, may be “out to get me.”

**Larger-Smaller** The incident indicates a smaller view on the part of the writer. The goals focus on immediate and specific things (ownership of games) rather than on any larger educational implications. There is no mention of long-range effects on students.

**People-Things** This person has a thing-orientation. The games take complete command of the person’s perceptions. The effects these games could have on students are never even mentioned. Somehow the students got lost in the situation.

**CONCLUSION**

Now that you have completed Chapter Five, you should proceed to work through the post-test materials (YOU MAY REQUEST THESE MATERIALS FROM THE AUTHOR’S WEB SITE). The procedure for scoring the post-test is the same as that used in this chapter. The post-test is made up of 13 Human Relations Incidents submitted by teachers or teacher-education students. A team of four professional raters has rated the incidents, and an interrater agreement of 85 percent was found for each Human Relations Incident. Your ratings will be compared to those of the professional raters, and the criterion of acceptability will be the same as
was described earlier: \( \pm 1 \). Your agreement score will be calculated, and you will receive a copy of this reliability score, as well as a summary of the findings and conclusions of this study. Chapter Six gives suggestions for the implementation of this method into the selection process.
CHAPTER SIX

APPLICATION OF PERCEPTUAL INFERENCES TO THE TEACHER SELECTION PROCESS

SYNOPSIS

Human factors are primarily responsible for teacher effectiveness. The degree of helpfulness of a teacher’s response to students is determined by his beliefs, values, and attitudes. From the research based on a perceptual view, five categories of perceptions have been isolated as necessary for effective teaching:

1) Rich, extensive, and available perceptions about the subject field
2) Accurate perceptions of people
3) Perceptions of self leading to adequacy
4) Accurate perceptions about the purposes of education and the processes of learning
5) Personal perceptions about appropriate methods for achieving purposes.

Traditional teacher effectiveness research shows no methods or teaching behaviors typical of effective teachers. Therefore, the teacher selection process definitely could benefit from taking the perceptual factors discussed above into account. Information about a candidate’s perceptual orientation is accessible through perceptual inferences. Theoretically, any behavior will expose the perceptual orientation of the person observed. While it may not be possible to duplicate exactly the perceptual field of the individual, a skilled observer can infer the person’s basic perceptions.

The research also demonstrates that inferences about perceptual orientations, and therefore effectiveness, can be made using Human Relations Incidents, interviews, classroom
observations, and projective clinical techniques. The only requirement is that the person’s
devices engage his personal meanings and beliefs, rather than just his intellect.

**Suggested Ways for Gathering Information**

1) **Application Forms.** Human Relations Incidents can be included on application forms. Evaluation of the incidents can serve as a pre-screening of candidates.

2) **Interview Situations.** In a traditional interview situation, questions can be designed to elicit responses from which inferences can be made. Awareness of the factors that affect self-report information (Chapter 3) is necessary. Again, the perceptions, rather than specific behavioral responses, are the primary concern.

3) **Teach a Class.** College teaching candidates are frequently asked to give a seminar. This method is applicable to any grade level, and perceptual orientations can be evaluated through the direct observation of classroom behavior.

4) The best way to acquire perceptual information is through a combination of your personal talents and a high degree of inference skill.

**CONCLUSION**

IF you have finished these materials and understand the perceptual framework,

IF you feel a certain proficiency in understanding the nature of effective teaching, and

IF you have done well in the practice materials and have achieved proficiency for making accurate perceptual ratings,

THEN you now possess the finest instrument available for making selection decisions...YOURSELF!
APPENDIX

HUMAN RELATIONS INCIDENTS

I would like you to think of a significant past event which involved yourself in a teaching role,* and one or more other persons. That is, from a human relations standpoint, this event had special meaning for you. In writing about this event, please use the following format:

First  Describe the situation as it occurred at the time.

Second  What did you do in the particular situation?

Third  How did you feel about the situation at the time you were experiencing it?

Fourth  How do you feel about the situation now? Would you wish to change any part of it?

* For those persons without teaching experience, the term “teaching role” was changed to “helping role.”
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