11.4.4. Evaluation

In evaluating and ranking applications, the following are the primary factors that will be considered:

a) How well the proposal meets the purposes of the program for which application is made;

b) The value of the project to the applicant's growth and professional status; the value of the project to the scholarly community;

c) The value of the project to the applicant's teaching responsibilities and students;

d) The value of the project to the University;

e) The value of the project to the non-academic community;

f) The probability that the project will be carried out (to be measured in terms of the applicant's background, previous success, and attainability of the goals stated);

g) The ability of the applicant to convey the content and importance of the project to those outside the applicant's own academic discipline;

h) Contribution of the project to the applicant's ongoing scholarship or creative activity;

i) Investigation of alternative funding sources;

j) The urgency of the project to be undertaken; and

k) Overall quality of the proposal.

Other things being equal, preference should be given, first, to a candidate who has not previously received a program award; second, to a candidate without tenure; and, third, to a candidate who received a program award the longest time ago.
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In evaluating and ranking applications, the following are the primary factors that will be considered:

a) How well the proposal meets the purposes of the program for which application is made;

b) Overall quality of the proposal;

c) The urgency of the project to be undertaken;

d) The ability of the applicant to convey the content and importance of the project to those outside the applicant’s own academic discipline;

e) The value, utility, merit or worth of the project (to be measured in terms of applicant’s growth and/or professional status, teaching responsibilities and students, scholarship/creative activity and scholarly community, the university and non-academic community);

f) The probability that the project will be carried out (to be measured in terms of the applicant’s background, previous success, and attainability of the goals stated);

g) Investigation of alternative funding sources and other commitments;

h) Inclusion of required support documents.

Other things being equal, preference should be given, first, to a candidate who has not previously received a program award; second, to a candidate without tenure; third, to a candidate who received a program award the longest time ago; and, fourth, faculty who have submitted simultaneous, co-dependent applications.

Quality (a-d) = 50%; Value (e) = 30%; Diligence (f-h) = 20%

_updates based on Faculty Senate Meeting suggestions on April 22, 2024:_

- Added the letter (d) to the word “and” in letter e) 
- Added the word “required” in letter h)
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In evaluating and ranking applications, the following are the primary factors that will be considered:

a) How well the proposal meets the purposes of the program for which application is made;

b) Overall quality of the proposal; Moved up in criteria order from k to b

c) The urgency of the project to be undertaken; Moved up in criteria order from j to c

d) The ability of the applicant to convey the content and importance of the project to those outside the applicant's own academic discipline; Moved up in criteria order from g to d

e) The value, utility, merit or worth of the project (to be measured in terms of applicant's growth and/or professional status, teaching responsibilities an students, scholarship/creative activity and scholarly community, the university and non-academic community); (Moved down in criteria order; Change letter from b to e; combined the original b-e and h- and removed original h)- Change in Verbiage & added parentheticals

f) The probability that the project will be carried out (to be measured in terms of the applicant's background, previous success, and attainability of the goals stated);

g) Investigation of alternative funding sources and other commitments;

h) Inclusion of support documents. New criteria added

Other things being equal, preference should be given, first, to a candidate who has not previously received a program award; second, to a candidate without tenure; third, to a candidate who received a program award the longest time ago; and, fourth, faculty who have submitted simultaneous, co-dependent applications. New criteria added

Quality (a-d) = 50%; Value (e) = 30%; Diligence (f-h) = 20%