

FACULTY DEVELOPMENT AWARD PROPOSAL FORMAT 2019 WORKSHOP

1. Award types
2. Format
3. Evaluation Criteria

Charlisa
Daniels, Faculty
Benefits
Committee

Contributors:
Emily Shifley
Debbie Patten
Matthew Zacate
Kimberly Code
Scott Nutter
K.C. Russel
(former Chairs)

THREE TYPES OF FACULTY DEVELOPMENT AWARDS

■ Sabbatical Leave:

- Goal: to promote professional growth and effectiveness of faculty by enabling more time to be spent on scholarly activity (research, advanced study, or artistic performance) with academic objectives
- Duration: 1 semester (full salary) or 2 semesters (65% salary)

■ Summer Fellowship:

- Goal: to support professional development activities by providing (partial) summer salary
- Duration: 2 months during the summer

■ Project Grants:

- Goal: to provide funds to cover non-salary-related financial needs for sabbatical leaves, summer fellowships, and other academic endeavors
- Duration: Up to one year between May 1 and the following June 30

EVERYTHING YOU NEED TO KNOW...

...is on the Benefits Committee web site
(See Faculty Senate->Committees-
>Benefits Committee)

<https://inside.nku.edu/facultysenate/committees/benefits.html>

PROPOSAL FORMAT

- Download format document and cover page template from Benefits Committee webpage

<https://inside.nku.edu/facultysenate/committees/benefits.html>

- Proposal has three parts
 - Part I: Cover page – use template from website
 - Part II: Body of the proposal - see format document for details
 - Part III: Appendix - see format document for details
- E-mail proposal parts as attachment(s) to Grace Hiles (hilesg1@nku.edu)

PART I: COVER PAGE

Format varies slightly among award types
(see front of Format Requirements handout)

Content

- Faculty Development Award Program
- Applicant Information
- Requested Leave Period (Sabbatical only)
- Title of Project
- Amount Requested (Project Grant only)
- Short Project Description
- Electronic Signature

PART I: COVER PAGE

Format varies slightly among award types

Content

- **Faculty Development Award Program**
- Applicant Information
- Requested Leave Period (Sabbatical)
- Title of Project
- Amount Requested (Project Grant)
- Short Project Description
- Electronic Signature




- Indicate award type:**
- **Sabbatical Leave**
 - **Summer Fellowship**
 - **Project Grant**

PART I: COVER PAGE

Format varies slightly among award types

Content

- Faculty Development Award
- **Applicant Information** 
- Requested Leave Period (Sabbatical)
- Title of Project
- Amount Requested (Project Cost)
- Short Project Description
- Electronic Signature

Name and contact info:

- Name
- Department
- Office
- Office phone
- E-mail address

and for sabbaticals only:


- Full-time appointment date
- Dates of previous sabbaticals

PART I: COVER PAGE

Format varies slightly among award types

Content

- Faculty Development Award Program
- Applicant Information
- **Requested Leave Period (Sabbatical only)**
- Title of Project
- Amount Requested (Project Grant)
- Short Project Description
- Electronic Signature



Indicate either

- academic year
- or which semester

PART I: COVER PAGE

Format varies slightly among award types

Content

- Faculty Development Award Program
- Applicant Information
- Requested Leave Period (Sabbatical only)
- **Title of Project**
- Amount Requested (Project Grant only)
- Short Project Description
- Electronic Signature

PART I: COVER PAGE

Format varies slightly among award types


Content

- Faculty Development Award Program
- Applicant Information
- Requested Leave Period (Sabbatical only)
- Title of Project
- **Amount Requested (Project Grant only)**
- Short Project Description
- Electronic Signature

PART I: COVER PAGE

Format varies slightly among award types

Content

- Faculty Development Award Program
 - Applicant Information
 - Requested Leave Period (Sabbatical only)
 - Title of Project
 - Amount Requested (Project Grant)
 - **Short Project Description** 
 - Electronic Signature
- Like an abstract
 - Note **200** word maximum!

PART I: COVER PAGE

Format varies slightly among award types

Content

- Faculty Development Award Program
- Applicant Information
- Requested Leave Period (Sabbatical only)
- Title of Project
- Amount Requested (Project Grant only)
- Short Project Description
- **Electronic Signature**

PART II: PROPOSAL BODY

Format varies slightly among award types
(see back of Format Requirements handout)

Content

- Goals and Criteria
- Detailed Project Description (including timetable)
- Value of the Project
- Background of Applicant Relevant to this Project
- Other Support and Commitments
- Detailed Budget with justification of Budget Items
(Project grant only)

PART II: PROPOSAL BODY

GOALS AND CRITERIA

State the tangible goals and outcomes of this project and what you believe would be fair criteria for evaluating the success of this project by the Provost and future FBC committees.

Common **pitfalls**:

1. Not identifying evaluation criteria
2. Not providing tangible goals/outcome
e.g. “improving my understanding of _____” is
(usually) not a measurable result

PART II: PROPOSAL BODY

DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Indicate how the goals of this project will be achieved in a way that is thorough, coherent, and non-technical. Include where the project will be conducted, the urgency of the project, and a tentative timeline for the project.

Some comments:

- This is the main narrative of the proposal.
- Many applicants like to begin with an introduction or background sub-section.
- As much detail about how goals/outcomes of the project/activity will be met without the use of jargon should be provided.
 - **Tip:** Defining some specialized terminology at the beginning can be highly successful if not overdone.
- Providing the start and end dates for a timetable is the minimum. Give projected dates of milestones in the project or activity

PART II: PROPOSAL BODY

VALUE OF THE PROJECT

State the specific values of the project related to: (1) The Applicant's professional growth and status; (2) The scholarly community; (3) The Applicant's teaching and students; (4) The University; and (5) The non-academic community.

Tips:

1. Don't assume value of the project to any of the above groups is obvious – be sure to spell it out.
2. The benefit of your project to some of those groups may not be obvious even to you – think creatively of the benefits and tweak your project if appropriate
3. This is a another place you could address urgency

PART II: PROPOSAL BODY

BACKGROUND OF APPLICANT...

List relevant research, education, teaching experience, *etc.*

Tip: This section is for you to make the case that you will successfully accomplish the proposed work.

PART II: PROPOSAL BODY

OTHER SUPPORT AND COMMITMENTS

State all the supplemental funds, including any compensation that you have received or may receive, that are available for the project as well as other commitments that you have from NKU and/or other institutions. List both those to which you are committed and those for which you have applied. Supporting letters should be included in the Appendix. Applicants should specifically address the availability of funds at the department level in the application and encourage their chair/program director to confirm the statement in their letters. If no other funding is available, simply state this.

PART II: PROPOSAL BODY

OTHER SUPPORT AND COMMITMENTS

State all the supplemental funds, including any compensation that you have received or may receive, that are available **for the project** as well as **other commitments** that you have from NKU and/or other institutions. List both those to which you are committed

Two purposes here:

1. Identify funding for the project/activity from external sources and/or from NKU → this helps determine if the applicant has the necessary resources to carry out the proposed work
2. Identify activities or other support provided by collaborators that are necessary for accomplishing the proposed work

PART II: PROPOSAL BODY

OTHER SUPPORT AND COMMITMENTS

State all the supplemental funds, including any compensation that you have received or may receive, that are available for the project as well as other commitments that you have from NKU and/or other institutions. **List both those to which you are committed and those for which you have applied.** Supporting letters should be included in the Appendix. Applicants should specifically address the availability of funds at the department level in the application and encourage their chair/program director to confirm the statement in their letters. If no other funding is available, simply state this.

PART II: PROPOSAL BODY

OTHER SUPPORT AND COMMITMENTS

State all the supplemental funds, including any compensation that you have received or may receive, that are available for the project as well as other commitments that you have from NKU and/or other institutions. List both those to which you are committed and those for which you have applied. **Supporting letters should be included in the Appendix.** Applicants should specifically address the availability of funds at the department level in the application and encourage their chair/program director to confirm the statement in their letters. If no other funding is available, simply state this.

PART II: PROPOSAL BODY

OTHER SUPPORT AND COMMITMENTS

Two purposes here:

1. Again related to assessment of whether or not applicant has resources needed to accomplish proposed work
2. Special for *Project Grant* proposals: funds for travel are expected to come (at least in part) from the department. Availability of dept. travel funds should be described.

should be included in the Appendix. **Applicants should specifically address the availability of funds at the department level in the application and encourage their chair/program director to confirm the statement in their letters. If no other funding is available, simply state this.**

PART II: PROPOSAL BODY

DETAILED BUDGET AND JUSTIFICATION...

(Project Grant Only)

No specific requirements for format, but complete information for each item includes

1. name of item
2. brief description of the item (if not self-evident)
3. cost
4. justification/explanation why it is needed for the project

Most common **pitfall**: not providing justification.

PART III: APPENDIX

Format document varies slightly among award types
(see back of Format Requirements handout)

Content

- Supporting Documentation
- Vita
- Previous FBC Awards

PART III: APPENDIX

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

(no page limit)

Include as needed:

- Letters of support from collaborators who will perform critical roles in the project or provide help in some other form
- Letter of interest/commitment from a publisher in the case of writing a book
- Bibliography/references cited – this may be included here or in Part II of the proposal
- Other supporting documentation, the inclusion of which does not circumvent the 9 page limit of Part II

PART III: APPENDIX VITA

No format specified but there is a 3 page limit.

Common **pitfall**: exceeding the 3 page limit.

PART III: APPENDIX

PREVIOUS FBC AWARDS

(no page limit)

Content:

- List by year all previous FBC Awards.
- For each award, provide a statement about the outcome of the award.
- Special for Sabbatical Leaves: indicate how the requirement to provide an “opportunity for others in the NKU community to learn about the results of your work” was met for each award.

EVALUATION SCORING FORM

Format varies slightly among award types
(see Evaluation Scoring Form handout)

General comments:

- Based on evaluation criteria in the Faculty Handbook
- Intended to make evaluation less subjective
- Does not always align well with the proposal format requirements

Tip: Use Evaluation Scoring Form as a guide for what to include in your proposal.

EVALUATION CRITERIA FROM HANDBOOK

- a) How well the proposal meets the purposes of the program for which application is made;
- b) The value of the project to the applicant's growth and professional status; the value of the project to the scholarly community;
- c) The value of the project to the applicant's teaching responsibilities and students;
- d) The value of the project to the University;
- e) The value of the project to the non-academic community;

EVALUATION CRITERIA FROM HANDBOOK





- f) The probability that the project will be carried out (to be measured in terms of the applicant's background, previous success, and attainability of the goals stated);
- g) The ability of the applicant to convey the content and importance of the project to those outside his/her own academic discipline;
- h) Contribution of the project to the applicant's ongoing scholarship or creative activity;
- i) Investigation of alternative funding sources;
- j) The urgency of the project to be undertaken; and
- k) Overall quality of the proposal.

NUMERICAL SCORE FROM EVALUATION CRITERIA

- a. Suitability for award program
- b. Value to applicant
- c. Value to students
- d. Value to the university
- e. Value to the non-academic community
- f. Probability of success
- g. Ability to communicate to non-specialists
- h. Contribution to scholarship/creative activity
- i. Addressing funding sources
- j. Urgency of project
- k. Overall quality

Criteria are reorganized into three dimensions on the evaluation score sheet

NUMERICAL SCORE FROM EVALUATION CRITERIA

- a. Suitability for award program 
- b. Value to applicant 
- c. Value to students
- d. Value to the university
- e. Value to the non-academic community
- f. Probability of success
- g. Ability to communicate to non-specialists 
- h. Contribution to scholarship/creative activity
- i. Addressing funding sources
- j. Urgency of project
- k. Overall quality 

**Dimension 1
Overall Quality**

**50%
of total score**

NUMERICAL SCORE FROM EVALUATION CRITERIA

- a. Suitability for award program
- b. Value to applicant
- c. Value to students
- d. Value to the university
- e. Value to the non-academic community
- f. Probability of success
- g. Ability to communicate to non-specialists
- h. Contribution to scholarship/creative activity
- i. Addressing funding sources
- j. Urgency of project
- k. Overall quality

**Dimension 2
Overall Value**

**30%
of total score**

NUMERICAL SCORE FROM EVALUATION CRITERIA

- a. Suitability for award program
- b. Value to applicant
- c. Value to students
- d. Value to the university
- e. Value to the non-academic community
- f. Probability of success
- g. Ability to communicate to non-specialists
- h. Contribution to scholarship/creative activity
- i. Addressing funding sources
- j. Urgency of project
- k. Overall quality

**Dimension 3
Applicant
Diligence**

**20%
of total score**

EVALUATION SUB-CRITERIA

Each criterion from handbook has at least one sub-criterion in the form of a statement that is given a score from 1 to 5.

| | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|------------|------------------------|
| Strongly Disagree Disagree 1 | Disagree 2 | Neutral 3 | Agree 4 | Strongly Agree 5 |
|------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|------------|------------------------|

Potential **pitfall**: Some sub-criteria evaluate inclusion of information not directly requested in the proposal format.

Tip: Include information evaluated in sub-criteria in appropriate parts of the proposal.

EVALUATION SUB-CRITERIA

EXAMPLE: SUB-CRITERION K

Each criterion from handbook has at least one sub-criterion in the form of a statement that is given a score from 1 to 5.

| | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|------------|------------------------|
| Strongly Disagree Disagree 1 | Disagree 2 | Neutral 3 | Agree 4 | Strongly Agree 5 |
|------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|------------|------------------------|

E.g. Criterion K: Overall quality of the proposal

This project...

k1. Follows the requested format by addressing all requirements of the application

k2. Presents a logical, reasoned argument

k3. Provides academic references and in-text citations

EVALUATION SUB-CRITERIA

CRITERION K

meaning:

citations to source material following discipline-appropriate, academic standards

Each
the

one sub-criterion in
re from 1 to 5.

| | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|------------|------------------------|
| Strongly Disagree Disagree 1 | Disagree 2 | Neutral 3 | Agree 4 | Strongly Agree 5 |
|------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|------------|------------------------|

E.g. Criterion K: Overall quality of the proposal

This project...

k1. Follows the requested format by addressing all requirements of the application

k2. Presents a logical, reasoned argument

k3. Provides academic references and in-text citations

EVALUATION SUB-CRITERIA

CRITERION K

meaning:

citations to source material
following discipline-appropriate,
academic standards

one sub-criterion in
re from 1 to 5.

| | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|------------|------------------------|
| Strongly Disagree Disagree 1 | Disagree 2 | Neutral 3 | Agree 4 | Strongly Agree 5 |
|------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|------------|------------------------|

E.g. Criterion K: Overall quality of
This project...

- k1. Follows the requested form
requirements of the application
- k2. Presents a logical, reasonable
- k3. Provides academic references and in-text citations**

Content

- Goals and Criteria
- ❖ **Detailed Project Description**
- Value of the Project
- Background of Applicant
- Other Support & Commitments
- Budget (*PG only*)

EVALUATION SUB-CRITERIA

EXAMPLE: SUB-CRITERIA J

Each criterion from handbook has at least one sub-criterion in the form of a statement that is given a score from 1 to 5.

| | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|------------|------------------------|
| Strongly Disagree Disagree 1 | Disagree 2 | Neutral 3 | Agree 4 | Strongly Agree 5 |
|------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|------------|------------------------|

E.g. Criterion j: The urgency of the project to be undertaken

This project clearly explains that it...

j1. Requires time-sensitive efforts and action by the applicant

j2. Addresses an urgent need or pressing problem

EVALUATION SUB-CRITERIA

EXAMPLE: SUB-CRITERIA J

Each criterion from handbook has at least one sub-criterion in the form of a statement that is given a score from 1 to 5.

Note the two meanings of urgency:

- 1. A need to be done in a timely manner**
- 2. A need to be done because of importance**

E.g. Criterion j: The **urgency** of the project to be undertaken

This project clearly explains that it...

j1. Requires time-sensitive efforts and action by the applicant

j2. Addresses an urgent need or pressing problem

EVALUATION SUB-CRITERIA

EXAMPLE: SUB-CRITERIA J

Each criterion from handbook has at least one sub-criterion in the form of a statement that is given a score from 1 to 5.

Note the two meanings of urgency:

- 1. A need to be done in a timely manner**
- 2. A need to be done because of importance**

E.g. Criterion j: The **urgency** of the

This project clearly explains that

j1. Requires time-sensitive effort from applicant

j2. Addresses an urgent need

Content

- Goals and Criteria
- ❖ **Detailed Project Description**
- ❖ **Value of the Project**
- Background of Applicant
- Other Support & Commitments
- Budget (*PG only*)

EVALUATION SUB-CRITERIA

OTHER SUB-CRITERIA

Each criterion from handbook has at least one sub-criterion in the form of a statement that is given a score from 1 to 5.

| | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|------------|------------------------|
| Strongly Disagree Disagree 1 | Disagree 2 | Neutral 3 | Agree 4 | Strongly Agree 5 |
|------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|------------|------------------------|

Hopefully, it is clearer how other sub-criteria fit into the proposal format.

QUESTIONS?