FACULTY DEVELOPMENT AWARDS PROPOSAL WORKSHOP 2024

Rebecca Elkins, Faculty Benefits Committee Chair

- 1. Award types
- 2. Format
- 3. Evaluation Criteria

Contributors:
Charlisa Daniels
Emily Shifley
Debbie Patten
Matthew Zacate
Kimberly Code
Scott Nutter
K.C. Russell
(former Chairs)

Award Types

FACULTY DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM - 3 AWARD TYPES

Sabbatical Leave:

- Goal: Promote professional growth and effectiveness of faculty by enabling more time to be spent on scholarly activity (research, advanced study, or artistic performance) with academic objectives
- <u>Duration</u>: 1 semester (full salary) or 2 semesters (65% salary)

Summer Fellowship:

- Goal: Support professional development activities by providing (partial) summer salary
- Duration: 2 months during the summer; \$6000

Project Grants:

- Goal: Provide funds to cover non-salary-related financial needs for sabbatical leaves, summer fellowships, and other academic endeavors
- <u>Duration</u>: Up to one year between May 1 and the following June 30; up to \$6000

EVERYTHING YOU NEED TO KNOW...

Check out the Benefits Committee Website Go to.... Faculty Senate->Committees->Benefits Committee

https://inside.nku.edu/facultysenate/com mittees/benefits.html

Format / Instructions

PROPOSAL FORMAT

- Download cover page template AND Instructions Page which includes "Proposal Body" & "Appendices" sections instructions. Found on the Benefits Committee webpage
- Proposals have 3 parts
 - Part I: Cover Page use template from website
 - Part II: Proposal Body see instructions page for details
 - Part III: Appendix see instructions page for details
- E-mail proposal parts as an attachment(s) to Grace Hiles (hilesg1@nku.edu) by 4:30pm on the First
 Tuesday in October

Part I: Cover Page



Content

- 1. Type of Faculty Development Award
- 2. Applicant Information
- 3. Requested Leave Period (Sabbatical only)
- 4. Other Current FDA Award Applications
- 5. Title of Project
- 6. Amount Requested (Project Grant only)
- 7. Short Project Description
- 8. Electronic Signature

1. Type of Award:

Indicate Faculty Development Award Type:

- Sabbatical Leave
- Summer Fellowship
- Project Grant

2. Applicant Information – All Award Types



Name and contact info:

- Name
- Department
- Office
- Office Phone
- E-mail address

Format varies slightly among award types

2. Applicant Information – Additional Sabbatical



- Full-time appointment date
- Dates of previous sabbaticals

NEW: Sabbaticals

- Date of initial appointment to full-time tenure-track faculty status:
- Date(s) of previous sabbatical leave(s):
- Type(s) of previous sabbatical leave(s) (FDA or Re-entry):

2. Applicant Information – Additional Summer Fellowship



NEW: Summer Fellowship

- Tenure Status (Tenure-track or Tenured):
- Faculty Rank (Lecturer, Assistant Professor, Associate Professor, Full Professor):
- Date of Most Recent Summer Fellowship Award:

2. Applicant Information - Additional Project Grant

NEW: Project Grant

- Tenure Status (Tenure-track, Tenured):
- Faculty Rank (Lecturer, Assistant Professor, Associate Professor, Full Professor):

3. Requested Leave Period (Sabbatical only)



Indicate either:

- Full academic year OR
- Semester (Spring or Fall & Year)

4. Other Current FDA Award Applications



Identify/List other related Faculty
Development Award Applications/Proposals
being considered for current year

5. Title of Project



Always Remember to Include Your Project Title

6. Amount Requested (Project Grant only)



How much will you need?

7. Short Project Description:



Provide Brief Overview

- Like an abstract
- 200 word maximum!

8. Electronic Signature



Be sure to Sign Your Cover Page!



Part II: Proposal Body

```
The proposal has to convince the reviewers that the project is...

...original... ...relevant...

...new... ...feasible...

...conductable by applicant...

...good administered.
```

- Dimension 1: Overall Quality
- Dimension 2: Value
- Dimension 3: Diligence

(9 page limit)

Dimension 1: Overall Quality

Proposals will be evaluated on the following:

- Meets the purpose of the program (a1, a2)
- Follow the requested format (b1)
- Address all requirements of the application (b2)
- Present logical, reasoned argument (b3)
- Effectively convey project information in a manner that those outside one's own academic discipline are able to understand (d1)

<u>Additionally</u>, the Detailed Project Description criteria will be evaluated as part of the overall quality.

Detailed Project Description

- 1. Background
- 2. Importance
- 3. Goals/Objectives
- 4. Procedures
- 5. Timeline (Sabbaticals & Fellowships)
- 5. Detailed Budget (Project Grant only)
- 6. References
- 7. Outcomes (SMART)
- 8. Criteria
- 9. Urgency

Detailed Project Description

1. Background

- Introduces the project topic provides an overview/ description of the topic being explored
- Summarizes/discusses relevant and prior investigations
- Identifies a problem or unresolved issues that the current research/project will address

Be sure to present sufficient background information (b4) ... This section should include in-text reference citations

Detailed Project Description

2. Importance

- Why is the topic/issue/project important to address?
- Why is the topic/activity worth studying/ exploring/completing?
- Why is the equipment or time needed?

This section may also include in-text citations

Detailed Project Description

3. Goals/Objectives

- What questions will you answer?
- What are the objectives of the project?
- What do you hope to learn as a result of the project?
- What do you hope to accomplish as a result of the project?

Detailed Project Description

4. Procedures

- Who or What was involved?
- What will you do? (methods or techniques used)
- What materials will be used?
- Where will the project take place?

Detailed Project Description

5. Timeline (Sabbatical & Fellowship)

- When will the project start?
- What are the projected dates of the project / activity milestones?
- When will you complete the project?



Detailed Project Description

5. Budget (Project Grants)

- What are you planning to purchase?
- How will the funding be used?
- How much is each item?
- When will funds be used... Projected dates?
- Justify each line item

DETAILED BUDGET AND JUSTIFICATION... (Project Grant Only)

No specific requirements for format, but complete information for each item includes

- 1. Name of item
- 2. Brief description of the item
- 3. Cost



Line Item Budget			
монтн	ACTUAL EXPENSE 2019	PROJECTED EXPENSE 2020	ACTUAL EXPENSE 2020
January	\$10,000	\$11,000	\$15,000
January	\$50,000	\$45,000	\$45,000
January	\$5,000	\$6,000	\$7,000
January	\$7,000	\$6,500	\$7,000
	\$72,000	\$68,500	\$74,000
	MONTH January January January	MONTH EXPENSE 2019 January \$10,000 January \$50,000 January \$5,000 January \$7,000	MONTH ACTUAL EXPENSE 2019 PROJECTED EXPENSE 2020 January \$10,000 \$11,000 January \$50,000 \$45,000 January \$5,000 \$6,000 January \$7,000 \$6,500

Most common pitfall: not providing justification.

Detailed Project Description

6. References

- List References Cited throughout document
- Use a professional format (i.e., APA, AMA)

NOTE: In-text citations and references should follow discipline-appropriate, academic standards

Detailed Project Description

7. Outcomes

- List the <u>tangible</u> things you will accomplish by completing the project
- Use SMART Goals





Detailed Project Description

8. Criteria

- How will you know that you were successful?
- State fair criteria for evaluating success?

Common pitfalls:

- 1. Not identifying evaluation criteria
- 2. Not providing tangible goals/outcome... e.g. "improving my understanding of _____" is (usually) not a measurable result

Detailed Project Description

9. Urgency

- Why do you need to complete the project at this time?
- Why is time of the essence?
- Why do you need the funding?

Dimension 2: Overall Value

Value will be evaluated on the utility, merit, worth or advancement the project provides for:

- 1. Professional Growth and Status
- 2. Teaching and Students
- 3. Scholarship and the Scholarly Community
- 4. The University
- 5. The Non-Academic Community

Overall Value

1. Professional Growth and Status

- a. How will the project help you grow & develop professionally?
- b. How will the project help you advance in rank, position or status?

Overall Value

2. Teaching and Students

- a. How will the project help you develop academically?
- b. Will the project improve your faculty effectiveness?
- c. Will the project help with coaching and mentoring students?

Overall Value

3. Scholarship and the Scholarly Community

- a. How will the project advance ongoing scholarly research or creative activities, advanced study or artistic performance?
- b. What is the potential impact on scholarly community?

Overall Value

4. The University

- a. How will the project advance or develop community reputation for the University?
- b. How will the project advance or develop regional or national reputation for the University?

Overall Value

5. The Non-Academic Community

- a. How will the project impact the general, public?
- b. How will the project impact the public sector, government, education or social service community?
- c. How will the project impact the private sector, business, commercial, retail or industrial community?

Must include at least one of the above

PART II: PROPOSAL BODY OVERALL VALUE

Overall Value





- 1. Don't assume value of the project to any of area is obvious be sure to spell it out.
- 2. The benefit of your project to some of the areas may not be obvious even to you think creatively of the benefits and tweak your project if appropriate
- 3. This is a another place you could address urgency

Dimension 3: Diligence

Diligence is the ability to demonstrate careful and persistent effort related to the project. Diligence will be evaluated based on:

- 1. Applicant Background
- 2. Other Support, Funding and Commitments

Diligence

1. Applicant Background

- a. Is the applicants goal statement attainable?
- b. Has the applicant provided examples of relevant research, education, teaching experience to support completion of the project?
- c. Have previous successes / accomplishments (e.g., publications, presentations, references, creative activities, grants, ect.) been noted and when appropriate provided as support documents?

Tip: Make the case that you will successfully accomplish the proposed work.

Diligence

2. Other Support, Funding and Commitments

- a. Did you discuss your evidence of support (e.g., letters from Dean/Chair, colleagues, etc.)?
- b. Did you discuss Intra or Extramural support for the project or lack thereof?
- c. Did you include all supplemental funds you may have received or investigated in relation to the project?
- d. Did you list current or other potential commitments (from NKU or other)?

PART III: PROPOSAL BODY OTHER SUPPORT AND COMMITMENTS

2. Other Support, Funding and Commitments

Purposes:

- 1. Identify funding for the project/activity from external sources and/or from NKU → this helps determine if the applicant has the necessary resources to carry out the proposed work
- 2. Identify activities or other support provided by collaborators that are necessary for accomplishing the proposed work
- 3. Related to assessment of whether or not applicant has resources needed to accomplish proposed work

<u>Special for *Project Grant* proposals</u>: funds for travel are expected to come (at least in part) from the department. Availability of dept. travel funds should be described.

Part III: Appendix



The following Appendices should be included and <u>labeled</u>:

- 1. Supporting Documentation
- 2. Vita
- 3. Previous FBC Awards
- 4. Internal Support (will be provided to Benefits separately)

(no page limit)

1. Supporting Documentation

- a. Letters of support from collaborators
- b. Letters of Interest/Commitment from publisher
- c. Other Supporting documentation
- d. References / bibliography used may be placed here if close to 9 page limit

2. Vita

- a. Include a modified version of your vita that is relevant to the proposal
- b. No format specified
- c. Maximum length = 3 pages

Common pitfall: exceeding the 3 page limit.

3. Previous Faculty Development Awards (FDA)

- a. List by year in reverse chronological order
- b. Include all Previous FDAs
- c. For each award, provide a statement about the outcome of the award
 - 1. Provide a copy of the completion form (final report OR statement of the outcome of the FDA)
 - 2. For <u>sabbaticals</u>, note how you provided an "opportunity for others at NKU to learn about results"

NOTE: If you have not completed "reports" for past FDAs, you are NOT eligible for an award.

4. Internal Support

- a. Dean Letters for Sabbaticals
- b. Chair Letters for all FDAs
 - 1. Evaluated on the level of support
 - 2. Evaluated on merit of the proposal

Evaluation Criteria

EVALUATION SCORING FORM

Format varies slightly among award types

General comments:

- Based on evaluation criteria in the Faculty Handbook
- Intended to make evaluation less subjective
- Updated documents better align with requirements

Tip: Use Evaluation Scoring Form as a guide for what to include in your proposal.

EVALUATION CRITERIA FROM HANDBOOK

11.4.4. Evaluation

- a) How well the <u>proposal meets the purposes</u> of the program for which application is made;
- b) The overall quality of the proposal;
- c) The <u>urgency</u> of the project to be undertaken;
- d) The <u>ability</u> of the applicant <u>to convey the content and importance</u> of the project to those outside his/her own academic discipline;

(Continued on next slide)

EVALUATION CRITERIA FROM HANDBOOK

- e) The <u>value</u>, utility, merit or worth of the project (i.e., value to: applicants growth & professional status; teaching responsibilities and students; scholarship/creative activity and scholarly community; University, non-academic community)
- f) The <u>probability</u> that the project will be carried out (to be measured in terms of the applicant's background,
- g) Previous success, and attainability of the goals stated;
- h) Investigation of alternative funding sources;
- i) Inclusion of <u>Support</u> Documents

Quality (a-d) = 50%; Value (e) = 30%; Diligence (f-h) = 20%

- a. Suitability for award program
- b. Overall quality
- c. Urgency of project
- d. Ability to communicate to nonspecialists
- e. Value to applicant, students, university, non-academic community
- f. Probability of success
- g. Previous Success
- h. Alternative funding sources
- i. Support Documents

Criteria are organized into three dimensions on the evaluation score sheet

- a. Suitability for award program
- b. Overall quality
- c. Urgency of project
- d. Ability to communicate to nonspecialists
- e. Value to applicant, students, university, non-academic community
- f. Probability of success
- g. Previous Success
- h. Alternative funding sources
- i. Support Documents

Dimension 1
Overall Quality

50% of total score

- a. Suitability for award program
- b. Overall quality
- c. Urgency of project
- d. Ability to communicate to nonspecialists
- e. Value to applicant, students, university, non-academic community
- f. Probability of success
- g. Previous Success
- h. Alternative funding sources
- i. Support Documents

Dimension 2
Overall Value

30% of total score

- a. Suitability for award program
- b. Overall quality
- c. Urgency of project
- d. Ability to communicate to nonspecialists
- e. Value to applicant, students, university, non-academic community
- f. Probability of success
- g. Previous Success
- h. Alternative funding sources
- i. Support Documents

Dimension 3
Applicant
Diligence

20% of total score

EVALUATION SUB-CRITERIA

Tip:

Include information evaluated in sub-criteria in appropriate parts of the proposal.

 Each criterion from handbook has at least one sub-criterion in the form of a statement that is given a score from 1 to 5 or NOT Applicable.

Common Pitfalls:

- 1. Not including information requested in subcriteria.(Some sub-criteria evaluate inclusion of information not directly requested in the proposal format.)
- 2. Not mentioning a requirement. (If something does not apply to your proposal note this. Leaving it off without acknowledgement will result in a lower score.)

EVALUATION SUB-CRITERIA EXAMPLE: SUB-CRITERION B

Each criterion from handbook has at least one sub-criterion in the form of a statement that is given a score from 1 to 5 OR Not applicable.

Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Not Applicable
1	2	3	4	5	N/A

E.g. Criterion B: Overall quality of the proposal This proposal...

- **b1.** Follows the requested format
- **b2.** Addresses all requirements of the application
- b3. Presents a logical, reasoned argument

...... (many others... be sure to address ALL)

EVALUATION SUB-CRITERIA C EXAMPLE: SUB-CRITERIA C

- E.g. Criterion C: The urgency of the project to be undertaken This project clearly explains that it...
 - c1. Requires time-sensitive efforts and action by the applicant
 - c2. Addresses an urgent need or pressing problem

Note the two meanings of urgency:

- 1. A need to be done in a timely manner
- 2. A need to be done because of importance

QUESTIONS?