

## **UPDATED** FDA EVALUATION CRITERIA for HANDBOOK

**Notes:** Benefits Committee APPROVED, Faculty Senate Reviewed and Revised

### **11.4.4. Evaluation**

In evaluating and ranking applications, the following are the primary factors that will be considered:

- a) How well the proposal meets the purposes of the program for which application is made;
- b) Overall quality of the proposal;
- c) The urgency of the project to be undertaken;
- d) The ability of the applicant to convey the content and importance of the project to those outside the applicant's own academic discipline;
- e) The value, utility, merit or worth of the project (to be measured in terms of applicant's growth and/or professional status, teaching responsibilities and students, scholarship/creative activity and scholarly community, the university and non-academic community);
- f) The probability that the project will be carried out (to be measured in terms of the applicant's background, previous success, and attainability of the goals stated);
- g) Investigation of alternative funding sources and other commitments;
- h) Inclusion of required support documents.

Other things being equal, preference should be given, first, to a candidate who has not previously received a program award; second, to a candidate without tenure; third, to a candidate who received a program award the longest time ago; and, fourth, faculty who have submitted simultaneous, co-dependent applications.

**Quality (a-d) = 50%; Value (e) = 30%; Diligence (f-h) = 20%**