

MEMORANDUM

To:	Faculty	Senate	Executive	Committee
10.	racuity	Senate	Executive	Commute

From: Matthew Zacate, Faculty Senate President

Re: Draft of changes to Faculty Handbook in support of emeritus status for lecturers

Date: August 5, 2019

With the thought that many lecturers have served NKU for a long time and with distinction, it has been suggested that lecturers have the opportunity to receive emeritus status when they retire. I recommend that the Profession Concerns Committee (PCC) first be given the opportunity to weigh in on this issue before bringing it to the full Faculty Senate. Below is a draft of the minimal changes that would be needed to the Faculty Policies and Procedures Handbook (*Faculty Handbook*) to support creation of lecturer emeritus status in the event the PCC supports the idea. Changes would be needed in two sections: 1.7.1 and 2.11. I, upon reviewing the sections, however, think that they might benefit from some additional editing.

1.7.1. EMERITUS FACULTY

Emeritus faculty are tenured faculty or administrators who hold faculty rank, who, upon retirement, and upon recommendation of the faculty of the department or program in which they hold tenure and upon the recommendations of the appropriate chair, the dean, the provost, and the president of the University, have been conferred emeritus status by the Board of Regents. Such persons hold the title and rank held immediately prior to their retirement, followed by the title "emeritus."

2.11. EMERITUS APPOINTMENTS

A department or program faculty may nominate a retiring faculty member for appointment to emeritus status. In order to be nominated, the retiring person must hold faculty rank-and tenure. Normally, a person will have served the University for a long period in order to be appointed to emeritus status. Such a nomination will be given to the dean by the chair or director, forwarded to the provost, and then to the president. The dean and the provost may make their own recommendations. Emeritus status may be conferred only by the Board of Regents, and then only upon recommendation by the president (see Section 1.7.1, Emeritus Faculty).



MEMORANDUM

To:	Faculty Senate Executive Committee
Cc:	Sue Ott Rowlands, Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs
From:	Matthew Zacate, Faculty Senate President
Re:	Recommendation to review section 8 of the Faculty Handbook
Date:	August 19, 2019

It has been brought to my attention that section 8 of the Faculty Policies and Procedures Handbook (*Faculty Handbook*) may need updating in order to ensure that all members of the faculty know that they are evaluated annually as part of the annual performance review process. In addition, I have had conversations in recent years that suggest to me that the use of the terms *program* and *program director* in section 8 may lead faculty members to believe incorrectly that academic program directors are involved in the annual performance review process. To begin addressing these issues, I have included some proposed wording changes that describe more accurately the performance review process that is used currently at NKU. I believe that it is only necessary to change sub-sections 8.1 and 8.2 (added text in red and green and deleted text in red, strike-through).

8. **PERFORMANCE REVIEW**

8.1 PURPOSE AND APPLICABILITY

The purpose of the annual performance review is to assess the quality of faculty performance during that year and to measure attainment of the goals and objectives set for the year. This process applies to full-time, tenure-track faculty and to full-time, non-tenure-track renewable faculty all faculty (see Section 1.3 regarding applicability to renewable faculty). All faculty members undergo an annual performance review. (Additional information for non-tenure-track renewable faculty can be found in Section 1.3.)

Faculty members in a department are evaluated by the department chair. Faculty members of a school are evaluated by the school director. Faculty members of Steely Library and colleges without departments or schools are evaluated by the corresponding dean. A faculty member who does not have an appointment in a department, school, or college is evaluated by the program director of his/her unit.

8.2. CRITERIA



The criteria for evaluation set forth in Section 3.1, Criteria, and in the departmental/school, college, and Steely Library-RPT, and program guidelines shall be the criteria upon which a performance review is based for all faculty except part-time, temporary faculty. Tenured and tenure-track faculty are evaluated using additional criteria as set forth in Section 3.1. The criteria used to evaluate parttime, temporary faculty are given on the Part-Time Faculty Evaluation Form, which can be obtained from the office of Academic Affairs. Any judgment, by a chair or director, that the overall performance of a tenured faculty member if unsatisfactory for the review period will be based upon and consistent with the statement of expectations for adequate performance approved by the faculty member's department/school or program.



MEMORANDUM

To:	Faculty Senate Executive Committee
Cc:	Sue Ott Rowlands, Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs
From:	Matthew Zacate, Faculty Senate President
Re:	Recommendation to consider Faculty Handbook changes to clarify RPT policies and procedures
Date:	August 12, 2019

Over the past couple of years, a number of issues related to the reappointment, promotion, and tenure process have arisen. They highlight some shortcomings in descriptions of criteria and procedures contained in section 3 of the Faculty Policies and Procedures Handbook (*Faculty Handbook*). Below is a proposed set of changes to the *Faculty Handbook* based on my best efforts to incorporate direct suggestions for change or to address observations made by members of the faculty, department chairs, and deans. The change been indicated using the "Track Changes" feature of MS-Word. Some have been highlighted with comments to include explanation for the changes.

3. EVALUATION FOR REAPPOINTMENT, PROMOTION, AND TENURE

3.1. CRITERIA

In making evaluations required for reappointment, promotion, and tenure, three major categories of professional responsibility are to be used. These categories, in order of importance, are teaching effectiveness; scholarship and creative activity; and service to the University, the discipline/profession and the community.

All academic units must have specific guidelines concerning expectations for reappointment, promotion, and tenure, what materials may be considered in each review category, what constitutes appropriate documentation, and how materials will be evaluated. All guidelines must be approved by a majority of the tenured / tenure-track faculty within the affected unit(s), the Chair or School Director, the Dean, and the Provost. Upon final approval by the Provost, all faculty within the affected units(s) must be notified and guidelines must be made available. All new faculty will be given a copy of these guidelines at the time of their hiring.



Excellence in Collegial Governance

3.1.1 TEACHING

Teaching includes all work that is intended primarily to enhance student learning. Assessment of teaching effectiveness should take into account documented student learning, contact hours, preparations, service learning, delivery method, and/or number of students.

3.1.2 SCHOLARSHIP AND CREATIVE ACTIVITY

Scholarship and creative activity includes all work that is related to the applicant's academic discipline or current role at the University. To qualify as scholarship or creative activity, the activity should require a high level of discipline-related or interdisciplinary expertise, and meet the standards of the discipline for scholarly and creative activity. NKU values transdisciplinary scholarship, scholarship of teaching, and scholarship of engagement in addition to traditional scholarship and creative activity.

3.1.3 SERVICE TO THE UNIVERSITY, THE DISCIPLINE/PROFESSION, AND/OR THE COMMUNITY

Service includes all work that contributes to the effective operation, governance, and advancement of programs, departments, schools, colleges, the University, one's discipline, and/or the community. Service also includes public engagement activities.

3.2. PROCEDURES FOR DECISIONS ON REAPPOINTMENT, PROMOTION, AND TENURE

As stated in Kentucky law, all persons involved in evaluation of personnel shall consider all information received and all deliberations as confidential unless disclosure is required by law.

3.2.1 TIME SCHEDULE

Each spring, the provost will issue a calendar listing deadlines for each step in the evaluation process for the coming academic year, a template for dossier preparation, and notification of any updates to the process.

3.2.2 INITIATION OF REQUEST

The applicant is responsible for initiating consideration by applying for reappointment, promotion, tenure, or a combination of them. A full-time administrator with academic rank may apply for tenure or promotion supported by documentation. The applicant will compile an RPT dossier, including a cover sheet provided by the provost's office.

3.2.3. DEPARTMENTAL/SCHOOL COMMITTEE

Each department or school shall have a reappointment, promotion, and tenure (hereinafter, RPT) committee consisting of at least five tenured faculty members elected at a regular or special department or school faculty meeting. If the department or school has five or more full-time _ _ _ _ tenured members in the case of a tenure committee or five or more members of appropriate rank (a rank above the level of the applicant) in the case of a promotion committee available to serve, _ _ then the committee shall be formed from faculty within the department or school. If the department or school has fewer than five full-time, tenured members in the case of a tenure

Commented [MZ1]: To allow faculty members in phasedretirement or in temporary part-time status to serve.

Commented [MZ2]: To account for the possibility that someone may not be able to serve because, for example, he/she is on sabbatical leave.



Excellence in Collegial Governance

committee, or fewer than five members of appropriate rank in the case of a promotion committee, available, then those members, in consultation with the department chair or school director, shall prepare a list of full time, tenured faculty of appropriate rank from other departments or schools from which faculty will be appointed by the committee to fill out its membership. In choosing members from other departments or schools, preference shall be given to teaching faculty in departments or schools with affinity to the department or school.

The members of the committee shall elect their own chair. The committee chair shall notify the department chair or school director of committee membership within ten working days of election.

3.2.4. DEPARTMENTAL/SCHOOL COMMITTEE: ELIGIBILITY

All full time, tenure-track faculty in the department or school are eligible to vote to elect the committee membership. Only full time, tenured faculty may serve on the committee. The department chair or school director may not serve on the committee. Department chairs or school directors in other departments or schools may serve on the committee provided that they are in a different college, and a Assistant and associate deans with faculty appointments serving as administrators with reassigned time may serve on the committee provided that they are serving as administrators in a different college. Tenured faculty with appointments in more than one department/school or discipline may serve on the committee of any department/school or discipline in which they hold an appointment. Faculty on sabbatical or paid leave are eligible but not required to serve on the committee. Faculty on unpaid leave are not eligible to serve on the committee. The Faculty Senate President will not serve on a department/school RPT committee unless there is fewer than five eligible faculty members available, in which case the Faculty Senate President committee.

Upon agreement of RPT committee members, the department chair or school director, the appropriate dean, and the applicant, faculty external to the University and of suitable rank and tenure may serve on the committee. Persons holding full-time administrative appointments, as defined in Section 1.8.1 are not eligible to serve on the committee.

In departments or schools where no faculty members are eligible to serve on a needed RPT committee, the department or school faculty shall serve in place of the department or school committee members to elect suitable RPT committee members.

3.2.5. DEPARTMENT/SCHOOL COMMITTEE: DELIBERATIONS

A quorum of an RPT committee shall be four-fifths (4/5) of its members; a quorum is required in order for the committee to act.

Material considered by the RPT committee must include, but may not be limited to, the applicant's submissions. The committee may consider supplemental material <u>consistent with</u> <u>department/school guidelines</u> that will aid in <u>their its</u> decision. If material not submitted by the applicant is considered, the applicant must be notified of this material. As part of <u>their its</u> deliberations, the RPT committee may meet with the applicant when such a meeting aids in the committee's decision process.

Commented [MZ3]: To avoid a potential conflict of interest for chairs, directors, and assistant/associate deans who report directly to the dean who would also evaluate the RPT candidates.

Commented [MZ4]: To avoid the possible appearance of a conflict of interest arising from the Faculty Senate President's greater access to the Provost.

Commented [MZ5]: It is important to put some parameters on what kind of supplemental information can be considered.



Excellence in Collegial Governance

If an RPT committee requires clarification on any procedural matter, the committee should make this request to the respective department chair or school director. Committees should not ordinarily make requests to the dean, provost, university counsel, human resources, or any other university official or department.

3.2.6. DEPARTMENT/SCHOOL COMMITTEE: VOTING AND REPORTING

Each member of the committee shall have one vote. Each member is required to vote on each matter before the committee. A member who has not reviewed materials submitted by the applicant or fully participated in the committee discussion of the applicant cannot vote on that applicant. Nominally, each member of the committee including the chair shall have one vote for each applicant; however, a member who has not reviewed materials submitted by or fully participated in committee discussion about an applicant cannot vote on the recommendation of that applicant. Immediately before the vote of each candidate, the committee chair will determine the eligibility of each committee member to vote on the recommendation. The chair will make an announcement to the committee and take note of who is eligible to vote. Each eligible member present for the vote on the recommendation; that is, abstention is not allowed. While a quorum must be present for the vote to take place, it is not necessary that 4 or more members participate in the vote.

The recommendation of the committee shall be reported in writing to the department chair or school director and must be characterized as either unanimous or non-unanimous. The recommendation of the committee will reflect the committee's deliberations and must be signed by all committee members who voted. In cases where the committee vote is not unanimous, support for both positive and negative votes must be included in the recommendation. In the case of a tie vote, the committee's recommendation will be deemed a positive recommendation. A copy of the recommendation will be given to the applicant. After receiving a negative recommendation from the committee, the applicant may elect within three business days to withdraw the application and terminate the RPT process.

3.2.7. CHAIR/DIRECTOR

No sooner than three business days after receipt of the committee recommendation, the department chair or school director shall make a recommendation to the dean in writing. The chair or director may consult with the department or school committee prior to making a recommendation, but not with committee members individually. As part of his or her deliberations, the department chair or school director may meet with the applicant to aid in his or her decision. The reasons for the department chair's or school director's recommendation, whether positive or negative, shall be included in the recommendation. The chair or school director may consider supplemental material consistent with department/school guidelines that ______ will aid in his/her decision. If material not submitted by the applicant is considered, then this must be indicated in the recommendation. The department or school committee's recommendation, and the applicant's file to the appropriate dean. A copy of the department chair's or school director's recommendation shall be given to the applicant and all members of the department or school committee.

Commented [MZ6]: This paragraph is terribly confusing. It has (at least) 3 conflicting ideas in conjunction with section 3.2.5: 4/5 must be present for a quorum, all members must vote, and only members who reviewed materials may vote.

Commented [MZ7]: It is important to put some parameters on what kind of supplemental information can be considered.



Excellence in Collegial Governance

3.2.8 DEAN

After receipt of the department chair's or school director's recommendation and the department or school committee's recommendation, the dean shall make a recommendation to the provost in writing. The reasons for the dean's recommendation, whether positive or negative, shall be included in the written recommendation. The dean may consult with the department or school committee and/or the department chair or school director prior to making a recommendation, but not with individual committee members. As part of his or her deliberations, the dean may meet with the applicant to aid in his or her decision. The dean may consider supplemental material consistent with department/school guidelines that will aid in his/her decision. If material not submitted by the applicant is considered, then this must be indicated in the recommendation. The dean shall forward this recommendation, the department chair's or school director's recommendation, the department or school committee's recommendation, and the applicant's file to the provost. A copy of the dean's recommendation shall be given to the applicant, the department chair or school director, and all members of the department or school committee.

3.2.9. PROVOST

After receipt of the dean's recommendation, the department chair's or school director's recommendation, the department or school committee's recommendation, and the applicant's file, the provost shall make a written recommendation to the president. The reasons for the provost's recommendation, whether positive or negative, shall be included in the written recommendation. The provost may consult with the department or school committee, the department chair or school director, the dean, or with any combination of them, but not with individual committee members. As part of his or her deliberations, the provost may meet with the applicant to aid in his or her decision. The provost may consider supplemental material consistent with department/school guidelines that will aid in his/her decision. If material not submitted by the applicant is considered, then this must be indicated in the recommendation. A copy of the provost's recommendation shall be given to the applicant, the dean, the department chair or school director, and all members of the department committee.

3.2.10. PRESIDENT

The president will forward the provost's recommendation to the Board of Regents.

3.2.11. BOARD OF REGENTS

Reappointment, promotion, and tenure may be granted only by the Board of Regents, and then only upon the recommendation forwarded by the president of the University. The Board shall act in accordance with statutory requirements and the bylaws of the Board of Regents

3.2.12. NOTICE OF NON-REAPPOINTMENT

Notice of non-reappointment of a probationary contract must be in writing, by the provost, and given:

- Not later than December 15 of the second academic year of service;
- At least 12 months before the expiration of an appointment after two or more years of service at the University.

Commented [MZ8]: This sentence is added to affirm the dean's right to consider unsubmitted material.

Commented [MZ9]: It is important to put some parameters on what kind of supplemental information can be considered.

Commented [MZ10]: It is important to put some parameters on what kind of supplemental information can be considered.



Excellence in Collegial Governance

3.2.13. FORMAL RECONSIDERATION AND APPEAL

In the case of a negative recommendation concerning reappointment, promotion, tenure, or any combination of them, the applicant has the right to a formal reconsideration only at the level of the initial negative recommendation. An "initial" negative recommendation is defined as the first negative recommendation given for a particular reason. If a negative recommendation is subsequently given at a higher level for a different reason, it shall be considered an initial negative recommendation for the purpose of formal reconsideration. When a negative recommendation is first made, the applicant shall be informed, in writing, of the right to request a formal reconsideration.

In order to exercise this right, the affected applicant must request the reconsideration in writing, with any omitted additional materials attached, within 10 University working days of receipt of notification of the negative recommendation by sending the request and additional materials to the chair of the department/school committee or the person who made the initial negative recommendation. Upon receipt of such of the a request for reconsideration, the chair of the department-or/school committee or the person who made the initial negative recommendation must send a copy of the request for reconsideration to the Office of the Provost and Executive Vice President for Academic Affairs for the purpose of resetting the review calendar for the applicant. Then, the department-or/school committee or the person who made the initial negative recommendation shall complete the reconsideration within 10 university working days of having received the request for reconsideration. The applicant and shall notify the applicant shall be notified, in writing, of the decision reached, and the letter of reconsideration with additional submitted material and the reconsideration decision will be forwarded to the individual responsible for the next level of review.

During the process of reconsideration, the calendar for the recommendation is extended, and the next level of recommendation shall not consider the applicant's application until reconsideration is completed. Once the decision regarding formal reconsideration is reached, the process shall continue at the next level.

The procedures for the committee's deliberations, voting, and reporting will be the same procedures as specified in Sections 3.2.5 and 3.2.6 of this <u>Handbook</u>.

In the event the Provost makes a negative recommendation on an application for reappointment, promotion, tenure, or any combination of them, the applicant may appeal using the procedures set forth in Section 14, Grievances. The appeal must be initiated by the applicant within 15 university working days from receipt of the provost's notice.

3.2.14. WITHDRAWAL OF APPEAL

A faculty member may withdraw an appeal at any time by request in writing. In that event, no

Commented [MZ11]: Reordered to make timing less confusing. The provost should be notified right away.



Faculty Senate Excellence in Collegial Governance

further action may be taken concerning the appeal. In the case of denial of mandatory tenure, if an appeal from a negative recommendation or decision is withdrawn prior to a decision on the appeal, tenure cannot be recommended.

3.2.15. TIME

Unless otherwise specified in these procedures, whenever any recommendation or notice is to be given or conveyed, it shall be given or conveyed within 15 university working days of receipt of the file by the person who is to take action.

3. EVALUATION FOR REAPPOINTMENT, PROMOTION, AND TENURE

3.1. CRITERIA

In making evaluations required for reappointment, promotion, and tenure, three major categories of professional responsibility are to be used. These categories, in order of importance, are teaching effectiveness; scholarship and creative activity; and service to the University, the discipline/profession and the community.

All academic units must have specific guidelines concerning expectations for reappointment, promotion, and tenure, what materials may be considered in each review category, what constitutes appropriate documentation, and how materials will be evaluated. All guidelines must be approved by a majority of the tenured / tenure-track faculty within the affected unit(s), the Chair or School Director, the Dean, and the Provost. Upon final approval by the Provost, all faculty within the affected units(s) must be notified and guidelines must be made available. All new faculty will be given a copy of these guidelines at the time of their hiring.

3.1.1 TEACHING

Teaching includes all work that is intended primarily to enhance student learning. Assessment of teaching effectiveness should take into account documented student learning, contact hours, preparations, service learning, delivery method, and/or number of students.

3.1.2 SCHOLARSHIP AND CREATIVE ACTIVITY

Scholarship and creative activity includes all work that is related to the applicant's academic discipline or current role at the University. To qualify as scholarship or creative activity, the activity should require a high level of discipline-related or interdisciplinary expertise, and meet the standards of the discipline for scholarly and creative activity. NKU values transdisciplinary scholarship, scholarship of teaching, and scholarship of engagement in addition to traditional scholarship and creative activity.

3.1.3 SERVICE TO THE UNIVERSITY, THE DISCIPLINE/PROFESSION, AND/OR THE COMMUNITY

Service includes all work that contributes to the effective operation, governance, and advancement of programs, departments, schools, colleges, the University, one's discipline, and/or the community. Service also includes public engagement activities.

3.2. PROCEDURES FOR DECISIONS ON REAPPOINTMENT, PROMOTION, AND TENURE

As stated in Kentucky law, all persons involved in evaluation of personnel shall consider all information received and all deliberations as confidential unless disclosure is required by law.

3.2.1 TIME SCHEDULE

Each spring, the provost will issue a calendar listing deadlines for each step in the evaluation process for the coming academic year, a template for dossier preparation, and notification of any updates to the process.

3.2.2 INITIATION OF REQUEST

The applicant is responsible for initiating consideration by applying for reappointment, promotion, tenure, or a combination of them. A full-time administrator with academic rank may apply for tenure or promotion supported by documentation. The applicant will compile an RPT dossier, including a cover sheet provided by the provost's office.

3.2.3. DEPARTMENTAL/SCHOOL COMMITTEE

Each department or school shall have a reappointment, promotion, and tenure (hereinafter, RPT) committee consisting of at least five faculty members elected at a regular or special department or school faculty meeting. If the department or school has five or more full-time tenured members in the case of a tenure committee or five or more members of appropriate rank (a rank above the level of the applicant) in the case of a promotion committee, then the committee shall be formed from faculty within the department or school. If the department or school has fewer than five full-time, tenured members in the case of a tenure committee, or fewer than five members of appropriate rank in the case of a promotion committee, those members, in consultation with the department chair or school director, shall prepare a list of full-time, tenured faculty of appropriate rank from other departments or schools from which faculty will be appointed by the committee to fill out its membership. In choosing members from other departments or schools, preference shall be given to teaching faculty in departments or schools with affinity to the department or school.

The members of the committee shall elect their own chair. The committee chair shall notify the department chair or school director of committee membership within ten working days of election.

3.2.4. DEPARTMENTAL/SCHOOL COMMITTEE: ELIGIBILITY

All full-time, tenure-track faculty in the department or school are eligible to vote to elect the committee membership. Only full-time, tenured faculty may serve on the committee. The department chair or school director may not serve on the committee. Department chairs or school directors in other departments or schools, and assistant and associate deans with faculty

appointments serving as administrators with reassigned time may serve on the committee. Tenured faculty with appointments in more than one department/school or discipline may serve on the committee of any department/school or discipline in which they hold appointment. Faculty on sabbatical or paid leave are eligible but not required to serve on the committee. Faculty on unpaid leave are not eligible to serve on the committee.

Upon agreement of RPT committee members, the department chair or school director, the appropriate dean, and the applicant, faculty external to the University and of suitable rank and tenure may serve on the committee. Persons holding full-time administrative appointments, as defined in Section 1.8.1 are not eligible to serve on the committee.

In departments or schools where no faculty members are eligible to serve on a needed RPT committee, the department or school faculty shall serve in place of the department or school committee members to elect suitable RPT committee members.

3.2.5. DEPARTMENT/SCHOOL COMMITTEE: DELIBERATIONS

A quorum of an RPT committee shall be four-fifths (4/5) of its members; a quorum is required in order for the committee to act.

Material considered by the RPT committee must include, but may not be limited to, the applicant's submissions. The committee may consider supplemental material that will aid in their decision. If material not submitted by the applicant is considered, the applicant must be notified of this material. As part of their deliberations, the RPT committee may meet with the applicant when such a meeting aids in the committee's decision process.

If an RPT committee requires clarification on any procedural matter, the committee should make this request to the respective department chair or school director. Committees should not ordinarily make requests to the dean, provost, university counsel, human resources, or any other university official or department.

3.2.6. DEPARTMENT/SCHOOL COMMITTEE: VOTING AND REPORTING

Each member of the committee shall have one vote. Each member is required to vote on each matter before the committee. A member who has not reviewed materials submitted by the applicant or fully participated in the committee discussion of the applicant cannot vote on that applicant.

The recommendation of the committee shall be reported in writing to the department chair or school director and must be characterized as either unanimous or non-unanimous. The recommendation of the committee will reflect the committee's deliberations and must be signed by all committee members. In cases where the committee vote is not unanimous, support for both positive and negative votes must be included in the recommendation. In the case of a tie vote, the committee's recommendation will be deemed a positive recommendation. A copy of the

recommendation will be given to the applicant. After receiving a negative recommendation from the committee, the applicant may elect within three business days to withdraw the application and terminate the RPT process.

3.2.7. CHAIR/DIRECTOR

No sooner than three business days after receipt of the committee recommendation, the department chair or school director shall make a recommendation to the dean in writing. The chair or director may consult with the department or school committee prior to making a recommendation, but not with committee members individually. As part of his or her deliberations, the department chair or school director may meet with the applicant to aid in his or her decision. The reasons for the department chair's or school director's recommendation, whether positive or negative, shall be included in the recommendation. The department chair or school director shall forward his or her recommendation, the department or school committee's recommendation, and the applicant's file to the appropriate dean. A copy of the department chair's or school director's recommendation shall be given to the applicant and all members of the department or school committee.

3.2.8 DEAN

After receipt of the department chair's or school director's recommendation and the department or school committee's recommendation, the dean shall make a recommendation to the provost in writing. The reasons for the dean's recommendation, whether positive or negative, shall be included in the written recommendation. The dean may consult with the department or school committee and/or the department chair or school director prior to making a recommendation, but not with individual committee members. As part of his or her deliberations, the dean may meet with the applicant to aid in his or her decision. The dean shall forward this recommendation, the department chair's or school director's recommendation, the department or school committee's recommendation, and the applicant's file to the provost. A copy of the dean's recommendation shall be given to the applicant, the department chair or school director, and all members of the department or school committee.

3.2.9. PROVOST

After receipt of the dean's recommendation, the department chair's or school director's recommendation, the department or school committee's recommendation, and the applicant's file, the provost shall make a written recommendation to the president. The reasons for the provost's recommendation, whether positive or negative, shall be included in the written recommendation. The provost may consult with the department or school committee, the department chair or school director, the dean, or with any combination of them, but not with individual committee members. As part of his or her deliberations, the provost may meet with the applicant to aid in his or her decision. A copy of the provost's recommendation shall be given to the applicant, the dean, the department chair or school director, and all members of the department committee.

3.2.10. PRESIDENT

The president will forward the provost's recommendation to the Board of Regents.

3.2.11. BOARD OF REGENTS

Reappointment, promotion, and tenure may be granted only by the Board of Regents, and then only upon the recommendation forwarded by the president of the University. The Board shall act in accordance with statutory requirements and the bylaws of the Board of Regents

3.2.12. NOTICE OF NON-REAPPOINTMENT

Notice of non-reappointment of a probationary contract must be in writing, by the provost, and given:

- Not later than December 15 of the second academic year of service;
- At least 12 months before the expiration of an appointment after two or more years of service at the University.

3.2.13. FORMAL RECONSIDERATION AND APPEAL

In the case of a negative recommendation concerning reappointment, promotion, tenure, or any combination of them, the applicant has the right to a formal reconsideration only at the level of the initial negative recommendation. An "initial" negative recommendation is defined as the first negative recommendation given for a particular reason. If a negative recommendation is subsequently given at a higher level for a different reason, it shall be considered an initial negative recommendation for the purpose of formal reconsideration. When a negative recommendation is first made, the applicant shall be informed, in writing, of the right to request a formal reconsideration.

In order to exercise this right, the affected applicant must request the reconsideration in writing, with any omitted materials attached, within 10 University working days of receipt of notification of the negative recommendation. Upon receipt of such a request for reconsideration, the department or school committee or the person who made the initial negative recommendation shall complete the reconsideration within 10 university working days and shall notify the applicant, in writing, of the decision reached. The department or school committee must send a copy of the request for reconsideration to the Office of the Provost and Executive Vice President for Academic Affairs for the purpose of resetting the review calendar for the applicant.

During the process of reconsideration, the calendar for the recommendation is extended, and the next level of recommendation shall not consider the applicant's application until reconsideration is completed. Once the decision regarding formal reconsideration is reached, the process shall continue at the next level.

The procedures for the committee's deliberations, voting, and reporting will be the same procedures as specified in Sections 3.2.5 and 3.2.6 of this <u>Handbook</u>.

In the event the Provost makes a negative recommendation on an application for reappointment, promotion, tenure, or any combination of them, the applicant may appeal using the procedures set forth in Section 14, Grievances. The appeal must be initiated by the applicant within 15 university working days from receipt of the provost's notice.

3.2.14. WITHDRAWAL OF APPEAL

A faculty member may withdraw an appeal at any time by request in writing. In that event, no further action may be taken concerning the appeal. In the case of denial of mandatory tenure, if an appeal from a negative recommendation or decision is withdrawn prior to a decision on the appeal, tenure cannot be recommended.

3.2.15. TIME

Unless otherwise specified in these procedures, whenever any recommendation or notice is to be given or conveyed, it shall be given or conveyed within 15 university working days of receipt of the file by the person who is to take action.

4. **REAPPOINTMENT**

4.1. ELIGIBILITY

A person who is making satisfactory progress toward grant of tenure is eligible for reappointment. A person who is making less than satisfactory progress toward grant of tenure may be terminated or may be reappointed to continued probation.

4.2. QUALIFICATION

A person seeking reappointment must present evidence of satisfactory progress in teaching effectiveness, scholarly and creative activity, and institutional and public service consistent with Section 3, Evaluation, of this <u>Handbook</u>.

4.3. **PROCEDURES**

The criteria and procedures in Section 3, Evaluation, apply to applications for reappointment.

7. APPOINTMENT, REAPPOINTMENT, PROMOTION, AND TENURE FOR LIBRARIANS

7.1 DISTINCT RESPONSIBILITIES

Librarians have responsibilities different from those of other faculty; they work on 12-month contracts and devote specified hours each week to their professional assignments in the libraries. Therefore, certain modifications have been made in criteria used in evaluation for reappointment, promotion, and tenure.

7.2. FACULTY STATUS AND RANK

Librarians at NKU have faculty status and rank (see Section 1.6, Librarians). The master's degree in library science is the appropriate terminal degree and is necessary for appointment to a library faculty position.

7.3. POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

The policies and procedures for faculty presented in this <u>Handbook</u> apply to librarians as modified in this Section 7. Effective performance on the job replaces the teaching effectiveness category. The Steely Library and Chase Law Library are considered to be departments with regard to implementation of the reappointment, promotion, and tenure process. The director of the Steely Library serves as department chair. The director of the Steely Library will forward reappointment, promotion, and tenure recommendations to the provost. The director of Chase Law Library serves in the functions of department chair and reports to the dean of the College of Law.

7.4. RANKS FOR LIBRARIANS

Library faculty may be appointed to these ranks:

- Instructor of library services,
- Assistant professor of library services,
- Associate professor of library services, or
- Professor of library services.

(See Section 1.9, Qualifications for Appointment to Rank.)

7.5. CRITERIA FOR EFFECTIVE PERFORMANCE

Effective performance requires:

- Meeting the responsibilities of the assigned positions successfully and effectively
- Demonstrated successful job performance in:
 - Innovation and initiative

- Ability to determine and assign work priorities and/or staff duties
- o Ability to handle increased and new responsibilities
- Integrating the area of responsibility with the library as a whole by showing:
 - Understanding of overall library operations
 - Commitment to the library's goals of education and service
 - Knowledge of new developments in library science and technology
 - o Willingness to use suggestions, criticism, and evaluations to improve performance
- It is the responsibility of every librarian with faculty rank to know the various policies of the University, as set forth in this <u>Handbook</u> or as otherwise published. A failure to comply with a written university policy that has resulted in disciplinary sanction of the library faculty member may constitute unprofessional conduct and consequently may be relevant to evaluations related to reappointment, promotion, and tenure, or to performance review.

7.6. CRITERIA FOR REAPPOINTMENT, PROMOTION, AND TENURE

Librarians are evaluated for reappointment, promotion, and tenure on the basis of a continuing record of achievement and evidence of professional development. A strong performance is mandatory and of primary importance (see Section 7.5, above). It is expected that librarians will also meet the library's standards of scholarly and creative activity and institutional and public service. For librarians, scholarly and creative activity may also include activity in education, <u>e.g.</u> offering formal classroom instruction, conducting workshops, conferences, or other informal educational activities; consultation; and professional committee work.

8. **PERFORMANCE REVIEW**

8.1 PURPOSE AND APPLICABILITY

The purpose of the annual performance review is to assess the quality of faculty performance during that year and to measure attainment of the goals and objectives set for the year. This process applies to full-time, tenure-track faculty and to full-time, non-tenure-track renewable faculty (see Section 1.3 regarding applicability to renewable faculty).

8.2. CRITERIA

The criteria for evaluation set forth in Section 3.1, Criteria, and in the departmental, college, and Steely RPT guidelines shall be the criteria upon which a performance review is based. Any judgment, by a chair or director, that the overall performance of a tenured faculty member if unsatisfactory for the review period will be based upon and consistent with the statement of expectations for adequate performance approved by the faculty member's department or program.

8. **PERFORMANCE REVIEW**

8.1 PURPOSE AND APPLICABILITY

The purpose of the annual performance review is to assess the quality of faculty performance during that year and to measure attainment of the goals and objectives set for the year. This process applies to full-time, tenure-track faculty and to full-time, non-tenure-track renewable faculty (see Section 1.3 regarding applicability to renewable faculty).

8.2. CRITERIA

The criteria for evaluation set forth in Section 3.1, Criteria, and in the departmental, college, and Steely RPT guidelines shall be the criteria upon which a performance review is based. Any judgment, by a chair or director, that the overall performance of a tenured faculty member if unsatisfactory for the review period will be based upon and consistent with the statement of expectations for adequate performance approved by the faculty member's department or program.

8.3. **PROCEDURES**

Performance reviews occur during the spring semester. The period evaluated is the prior January 1 through December 31 calendar year.

The chair or director, in consultation with the department or program faculty, will set the date for the faculty member's performance review. The performance review should be completed no later than April 1 and no earlier than one week after receipt by all faculty in a department or program of fall semester student evaluations. Prior to that date the faculty member will prepare a written statement of his/her performance, including a statement of goals and objectives for the coming year. The chair or director will meet with the faculty member to discuss the performance, assess attainment of goals and objectives, and set goals and objectives for the coming year. The chair or director will be responsible for preparing a document summarizing the performance evaluation, goals and objectives assessment, and goals and objectives set for the coming year. Both parties will sign the document to verify that the review has occurred. If differences of opinion exist, they shall make every effort to resolve them. If the content of the summary is unsatisfactory to the faculty member, the faculty member is responsible for providing a written addendum stating the difference(s) of opinion. All performance review documents, including the faculty member's original written performance statement, shall become a part of the faculty member's personnel file maintained in the department or program; a copy must be given to the faculty member, to the dean, and to the provost.

The faculty member may use his/her copy of the performance evaluation to support applications for reappointment, promotion, tenure, or any combination of them, or in grievance procedures. Otherwise, the chair or director, dean, and provost must keep the contents confidential. In the event that a post-tenure review is triggered, the faculty member's annual performance review materials from the two most recent reviews, including the chairperson's own evaluation letters, will be made accessible to the P-TR committee and can be used in evaluating that individual's performance and must remain confidential.

If circumstances change during the year, the faculty member and chair or director may agree to amend the goals and objectives for that year.

8.4. APPEAL PROCEDURES

A faculty member convinced that misevaluation is damaging his/her professional status or advancement may pursue one of the complaint processes as set forth in Section 14, Grievances.