### Rubric for Summer Proposals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|       | **Project deliverables, milestones and timelines** | 0 – Deliverables, milestone and timelines are unclear  
1 – Deliverables, milestones and timelines clear but are not feasible  
2 – Deliverables, milestones and timelines are not specific  
3 – Deliverables, milestones and timelines are clearly stated and feasible |
|       | **Methods/Procedures** | 0 – No methods are discussed  
1 – Plan for carrying on the work is vague and poorly articulated  
2 – Plan for carrying out the work is clear but not well described  
3 – Proposal clear and has a plan for carrying out the work |
|       | **Rationale & Significance** | 0 – Contains no statement of rationale and/or significance  
1 – Statement is in general terms  
2 – Statement is specific but it is not convincing in terms of items below  
3 – Clearly explains how the project meets a NKU or society need; and builds on current strengths and approaches in lay terms |
|       | **Quality of the Proposal** | 0 – Proposal is not written well and applicant did not follow instructions in preparing the proposal  
1 - Proposal is not understandable to a general audience  
2 - Proposal is understandable but utilizes unclear terminology for a general audience  
3 – Proposal is clear, understandable to general audience and clearly written by the student |
|       | **Intellectual Merit/Quality of research** | 0 – Proposal outcomes are unclear from proposal  
1 – Proposal will not lead to high quality outcomes  
2 – Proposal will only marginally advance research in the discipline  
3 – Proposal demonstrates the impact of the project in clear lay terms |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point Total</th>
<th>_______ out of 15 points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>