

# Rubric for Summer Proposals

Project/Student: \_\_\_\_\_

Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_

Score	Item	Comments
	<p><b>Project deliverables, milestones and timelines</b>                      0 – Deliverables, milestone and timelines are unclear                      1 – Deliverables, milestones and timelines clear but are not feasible                      2 – Deliverables, milestones and timelines are not specific                      3 – Deliverables, milestones and timelines are clearly stated and feasible</p>	
	<p><b>Methods/Procedures</b>                      0 – No methods are discussed                      1 – Plan for carrying on the work is vague and poorly articulated                      2 – Plan for carrying out the work is clear but not well described                      3 – Proposal clear and has a plan for carrying out the work</p>	
	<p><b>Rationale &amp; Significance</b>                      0 – Contains no statement of rationale and/or significance                      1 – Statement is in general terms                      2 – Statement is specific but it is not convincing in terms of items below                      3 – Clearly explains how the project meets a NKU or society need; and builds on current strengths and approaches in lay terms</p>	
	<p><b>Roles</b>                      0 – No discussion provided on student and faculty roles                      1 – Discussion is poorly developed                      2 – Complete discussion, but roles are not appropriate                      3 – Complete discussion of roles, and roles are appropriate for the work</p>	
	<p><b>Budget</b>                      0 – Budget is unreasonable; justification is not clear                      1 – Goals and objectives are clearly not in-line with budget                      2 – Goals, objectives and budgets are aligned, but not reasonable                      3 – Goals, objectives and budget are clearly aligned and reasonable</p>	
	<p><b>Quality of the Proposal</b>                      0 – Proposal is not written well and applicant did not follow instructions in preparing the proposal                      1 - Proposal is not understandable to a general audience                      2 - Proposal is understandable but utilizes unclear terminology for a general audience                      3 – Proposal is clear, understandable to general audience and clearly written by the student</p>	
	<p><b>Intellectual Merit/Quality of research</b>                      0 – Proposal outcomes are unclear from proposal                      1 – Proposal will not lead to high quality outcomes                      2 – Proposal will only marginally advance research in the discipline                      3 – Proposal demonstrates the impact of the project in clear lay terms</p>	
<b>Point Total</b>	_____ out of 21 points	